arXiv:1403.2680v1 [math.GR] 11 Mar 2014

MAXIMAL ABELIAN SUBGROUPS OF COMPACT SIMPLE LIE GROUPS OF TYPE E

JUN YU

Abstract. We classify closed abelian subgroups of a compact simple Lie group of adjoint type and of type $\mathbf{E_6}$, $\mathbf{E_7}$ or $\mathbf{E_8}$ having centralizer of the same dimension as the dimension of the subgroup and describe Weyl groups of maximal abelian subgroups.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 20E07, 20E45, 20K27.
Keywords. Abelian subgroup, centralizer, Levi subgroup.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Connected simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{E_6}$ 2
3. Disconnected simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{E_6}$ 10
4. Simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{E_7}$ 18
5. Simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{E_8}$ 27
References 33

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the study of maximal abelian subgroups of compact simple Lie groups in [Yu2] and [Yu3], where we give a classification of such subgroups of matrix groups, simple Lie groups of type $\mathbf{G_2}$, $\mathbf{F_4}$ and $\mathbf{D_4}$, and finite maximal abelian subgroups of some spin and half-spin groups. In this paper we discuss such subgroups of simple Lie groups of type $\mathbf{E_6}$, $\mathbf{E_7}$ and $\mathbf{E_8}$. The same as in [Yu2] and [Yu3], we study closed abelian subgroups F of a compact simple Lie group G satisfying the condition of

$$(*) \qquad \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^F = \dim F,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \operatorname{Lie} G$ is the Lie algebra of G. Precisely, we discuss closed abelian subgroups of $G := \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$, the automorphism group of a compact simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_0$ of type $\mathbf{E_6}$, $\mathbf{E_7}$ or $\mathbf{E_8}$. In the $\mathbf{E_6}$ case, abelian subgroups contained in $G_0 = \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ and those being not contained in G_0 are discussed separately and we employ slightly different methods to study them.

Given a closed abelian subgroup F of $G = \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$, or $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$, by Lemma 2.1, we reduce this classification to the classification of finite abelian subgroups of the derived groups of some Levi subgroups of G.

By this in each case we can use results in [Yu2], [Yu3] and previous sections of this paper. The more difficult part is the classification of finite abelian subgroups F of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. For this we recall that a theorem of Steinberg indicates that the centralizer G^x is connected if the order of an element x of F is prime to the order of the center of the universal covering of G and hence G^x is semisimple in this case. There are few semisimple subgroups of G which are centralizers of elements and most of them possess simple structure. The even more difficult is for finite 3-subgroups of $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ and finite 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ or $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$. We show that there are actually two conjugacy classes of finite 3-subgroups of $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$. The study of finite 2-subgroups F of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ or $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$ is more involved. After showing the exponent of F is at most 4, we appeal to [HY] and [Yu] for detailed knowledge of symmetric subgroups and elementary abelian 2-subgroups of G. The classification reduces to the $\mathbf{E_6}$ or matrix groups while F contains specific involutions or Klein four subgroups. We are able to show that there are few conjugacy classes of F in the exceptional cases of F containing no such involutions or Klein four subgroups. For closed abelian subgroups of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ being not contained in G_0 and satisfying the condition $(*)$, by Lemma 3.3, we reduce the classification to the classification of finite abelian subgroups of derived groups of some (disconnected) Levi subgroups. While this disconnected Levi subgroup is a proper subgroup, its derived subgroup is related to the twisted unitray group or Spin group, in which case we could apply results from [Yu2] and [Yu3]. For finite abelian subgroups, we show that there is a unique conjugacy class of F if it contains no outer involutions. While it contains an outer involution, the question reduces to the group F_4 or $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$, which are studied in [Yu3] and [Yu2].

In the process of our classification, the fusion of each abelian subgroup F obtained is well understood. By this we are able to describe its Weyl group $W(F) := N_G(F/C_G(F)$. We also discuss an example in the $\mathbf{E_8}$ case where the small Weyl group of the associated fine group grading is a proper subgroup of the Weyl group of the maximal abelian subgroup, which disproves a conjecture in [HV]. Our classification in the $\mathbf{E_6}$ case gives a classification of fine group gradings of the complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$ as a byproduct. After posing a manuscript containing this classification to arXiv in 2012, the author was notified that a classification of fine group gradings of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$ was independently obtained in [DV] in close time.

2. Connected simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{E_6}$

We follow the notation in [Yu], Subsection 3.1. Given a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, with a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, Killing form denoted by B, root system $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. For each vector $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$ be defined by

$$B(H_\lambda, H) = \lambda(H),\ \forall H \in \mathfrak{h},$$

in particular we have a vector $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ for each root α. Let

$$H'_\alpha = \frac{2}{\alpha(H_\alpha)} H_\alpha, \tag{1}$$

which is called a co-root vector. Choose a simple system $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ of the root system Δ, with the Bourbaki numbering ([Bo], Pages 265-300). For simplicity we write H_i, H'_i for H_{α_i}, H'_{α_i}, $1 \leq i \leq r$. For a root α, denote by X_α a non-zero vector in the root space $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. One can normalize the root vectors $\{X_\alpha\}$ appropriately so that the real subspace

$$\mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_\alpha - X_{-\alpha}, i(X_\alpha + X_{-\alpha}), iH_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta^+\} \tag{2}$$

is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$ ([Kn], Pages 348-354).

Given a compact Lie group G, denote by $\mathfrak{g}_0$ the Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ the complexified Lie algebra of G. Denote by $\mathfrak{e}_6$ a compact simple Lie algebra of type $\mathbf{E_6}$ and by E_6 a connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_6$. Let $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{E}_6(\mathbb{C})$ denote their complexifications. Similar notations are used for other types. Given a compact semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_0$, let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ be the group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{u}_0) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)_0$ be the group of inner automorphisms.

The following proposition is a theorem of Steinberg (cf. [OV], Pages 214-216) and a well known result (cf. [Kn], Page 260).

Proposition 2.1. *Given a connected and simply connected compact Lie group G and an automorphism ϕ of G, the fixed point subgroup G^ϕ is connected. Given a torus S of a connected compact Lie group G, the centralizer $C_G(S)$ is connected.*

We recall three lemmas from [Yu3].

Lemma 2.1. *If F is a closed abelian subgroup of a connected compact Lie group G satisfying the condition $(*)$, then $\mathfrak{a} = Z(\mathfrak{l})$ and $F' := F \cap L_s$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L_s satisfying the condition $(*)$, where $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Lie}\, F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{l} = C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$, $L = C_G(\mathfrak{a})$, $L_s = [L, L]$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a compact connected and simply connected Lie group and L be a closed connected subgroup of G. If $\mathfrak{l} = \mathrm{Lie}\, L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, then L_s is simply connected.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group and L be a closed connected subgroup of G. If $\mathfrak{l} = \mathrm{Lie}\, L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $Z(L_s) \subset Z(G)Z(L)_0$.*

Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$. Let $G_1 = \mathrm{E}_6$ and $G_2 = \mathrm{E}_6 \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$, where $\tau^2 = 1$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6^\tau = \mathfrak{f}_4$. Let $\pi : G_2 \longrightarrow G$ be the adjoint homomorphism. Then, $\ker \pi = \langle c \rangle$, where $c = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(H'_1 + 2H'_3 + H'_5 + 2H'_6))$ with $o(c) = 3$ and being a generator of $Z(\mathrm{E}_6)$. Let

$$\theta_1 = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(2H'_1 + H'_3)), \quad \theta_2 = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(2H'_1 + 2H'_3 + 2H'_4 + H'_2 + H'_5)).$$

They are elements of order 3 in G_1. The root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\theta_1}$ is of type $3A_2$ with simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_6, \alpha_5, \beta - \alpha_2, \alpha_2\}$. The root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\theta_2}$ is of type D_4 with simple roots $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_2, \alpha_5\}$, where $\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_6 + 2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) + 3\alpha_4$ is the highest root. One has

$$(G_1)^{\theta_1} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I, \omega I, \omega I)\rangle,$$

where $c = (\omega I, \omega^{-1} I, I)$; and

$$(G_1)^{\theta_2} \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(8) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c_8, -1, 1), (-c_8, 1, -1)\rangle,$$

where the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1)$ is $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{2H_1'+2H_3'+2H_4'+H_2'+H_5', H_2'+H_3'+2H_4'+2H_5'+2H_6'\}$, $c=(1,\omega,\omega^{-1})$. Let $\eta\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ be an automorphism determined by

$$\eta(X_{\pm\alpha_4})=X_{\pm\alpha_4},\ \eta(X_{\pm\alpha_2})=X_{\pm\alpha_3},\ \eta(X_{\pm\alpha_3})=X_{\pm\alpha_5},\ \eta(X_{\pm\alpha_5})=X_{\pm\alpha_2},$$

$$\eta(X_{\pm\alpha_1})=X_{\pm\alpha_6},\ \eta(X_{\pm\alpha_6})=X_{\mp\beta},\ \eta(X_{\mp\beta})=X_{\pm\alpha_1}.$$

Then, η stabilizes $\mathfrak{u}_0$ and hence $\eta\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)=G$. Since $\eta^3=1$, $\eta\in G_0$ and hence it lifts to an element of $G_1=\mathrm{E}_6$. In this way we could regard η as an element of G or as an element of G_1. By Lemma 2.5 below, we see that η is actually conjugate to θ_2 in G_1. One has $\eta\theta_1\eta^{-1}=c\theta_1$ and $\eta\theta_2\eta^{-1}=c\theta_2$. For $i=1$, 2, one has

$$(G_0)^{\pi(\theta_i)}=\{g\in G_1: g\theta_i g^{-1}(\theta_i)^{-1}\in\langle c\rangle\}/\langle c\rangle.$$

Since $\eta\theta_1\eta^{-1}=c\theta_1$, one has $\{g\in G_1: g\theta_i g^{-1}\theta_i^{-1}\in\langle c\rangle\}=(G_1)^{\theta_1}\cdot\langle\eta\rangle$. Thus $(G_0)^{\pi(\theta_1)}$ has three connected components. Furthermore one has

$$G^{\pi(\theta_1)}\cong((\mathrm{SU}(3)\times\mathrm{SU}(3)\times\mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I,\omega I,\omega I),(\omega I,\omega^{-1}I,I)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\eta,\tau\rangle,$$

where $\eta(A_1,A_2,A_3)\eta^{-1}=(A_2,A_3,A_1)$ and $\tau(A_1,A_2,A_3)\tau^{-1}=(A_1,A_3,A_2)$. Similarly one has

$$G^{\pi(\theta_2)}\cong((\mathrm{Spin}(8)\times\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c,-1,1),(-c,1,-1)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\eta,\tau\rangle,$$

where $(\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus i\mathbb{R}\oplus i\mathbb{R})^{\eta}=\mathfrak{g}_2\oplus 0\oplus 0$ and $(\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus i\mathbb{R}\oplus i\mathbb{R})^{\tau}=\mathfrak{so}(7)\oplus\Delta(i\mathbb{R})$. Recall that G has an involution σ_1 with (cf. [HY], Table 2)

$$(G_0)^{\sigma_1}\cong(\mathrm{SU}(6)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-I,-1),(\omega I,1)\rangle.$$

Given a closed abelian subgroup F of G, for any $x,y\in F\cap G_0$, choosing $x',y'\in G_1$ such that $\pi(x')=x$ and $\pi(y')=y$, then $[x',y']=c^k$ for some $k=0,1,2$. Define $m(x,y)=c^k$. Then, m is an antisymmetric bimultiplicative function on $F\cap G_0$. That means: for any $x_1,x_2,x_3\in F\cap G_0$, $m(x_1,x_1)=1$, $m(x_1,x_2)=m(x_2,x_1)^{-1}$ and $m(x_1x_2,x_3)=m(x_1,x_3)m(x_2,x_3)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let F be a finite abelian subgroup of G_0 satisfying the condition $(*)$. For any $1\neq x\in F$,*

1. *if $o(x)=p$ is a prime, then $p=2$ or 3.*
2. *If $o(x)=2^k$, then $o(x)=2$ and $x\sim\sigma_1$.*
3. *If $o(x)=3^k$, then $o(x)=3$ and $x\sim\pi(\theta_1)$ or $\pi(\theta_2)$.*

Proof. For (1), suppose that $p>3$. Then there exists $y\in G_1$ such that $x=\pi(y)$ and $o(y)=o(x)=p$. Thus $F\subset(G_0)^x=\{g\in G_1: gyg^{-1}y^{-1}\in\langle c\rangle\}/\langle c\rangle$. Since $o(yc)=o(yc^2)=3o(y)\neq o(y)$, one has $yc\not\sim y$ and $yc^2\not\sim y$. Hence $(G_0)^x=(G_1)^y/\langle c\rangle$. By Steinberg's theorem $(G_1)^y$ and hence $(G_0)^x$ is connected. Therefore $(G_0)^x$ is semisimple as F satisfies the condition $(*)$. By this the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^y$ is of type $3A_2$ or A_5+A_1 (cf. [Os]). In this case $(G_0)^x$ does not contain any element in its center of order a prime $p>3$, which gives a contradiction. For (2), similar argument as the above for (1) shows that $(G_0)^x$ is connected and the root system

of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $3A_2$ or A_5+A_1. As it is assumed that $o(x)=2^k$, the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type A_5+A_1 and hence

$$(G_0)^x \cong (\mathrm{SU}(6)\times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(\omega I,1),(-I,-1)\rangle.$$

By this $o(x)=2$ and $x\sim\sigma_1$. For (3), suppose that $o(x)\neq 3$. Then F has an element of order 9. We may and do assume that $o(x)=9$. Choosing an element $y\in G_1$ such that $\pi(y)=x$, then $F\subset (G_1)^{y^3}/\langle c\rangle$ since $x^3\in\ker m$. By Steinberg's theorem $(G_1)^{y^3}$ is connected. Since F satisfies the condition $(*)$, $(G_1)^{y^3}$ is semisimple. Therefore the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^y$ is of type $3A_2$ or A_5+A_1. As it is assumed that $o(x)=9$, the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{y^3}$ is of type $3A_2$. In this case

$$(G_1)^{y^3}/\langle c\rangle \cong (\mathrm{SU}(3)\times\mathrm{SU}(3)\times\mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I,\omega I,\omega I),(\omega I,\omega^{-1}I,I)\rangle,$$

where $x^3=[y^3]=[(\omega I,I,I)]$ or $[(\omega^{-1}I,I,I)]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $y=(A_1,A_2,A_3)$ with $(A_1)^3=\omega^k I$ and $(A_2)^3=(A_3)^3=\omega^{k+1}I$ for some $k=0$, 1 or 2. If $k=1$ or 2, then $A_1\not\sim\omega A_1$ and $A_1\not\sim\omega^2 A_1$. Hence the component of $((G_1)^{y^3}/\langle c\rangle)^x$ on the first SU(3) factor is $\mathrm{SU}(3)^{A_1}$, which has a center of positive dimension. Thus $\mathfrak{g}_0^F\neq 0$. If $k=0$, then $A_2\not\sim\omega A_2$, $A_2\not\sim\omega^2A_2$, $A_3\not\sim\omega A_3$ and $A_3\not\sim\omega^2A_3$. In this case $(G_0)^x$ is connected and has a center of positive dimension. Again one has $\mathfrak{g}_0^F\neq 0$. Thus $o(x)=3$. For the terminology in [AGMV], G_0 has five conjugacy classes of elements of order 3 labeled as "3B","3C", "3D","3E","3F". For an element x in the class "3B", "3E" or "3F", the order of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6^x)/\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6^x)$ is coprime to 3 and $\mathfrak{e}_6^x$ is not semisimple, hence $(G_0)^x$ is connected and is not semisimple. Therefore it does not contain any finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. Then, x is in the class "3C" or "3D". That is, $x\sim\pi(\theta_1)$ or $\pi(\theta_2)$. □

Lemma 2.5. *We have $\eta\sim\pi(\theta_2)$ and $\eta\pi(\theta_1)\sim\eta\pi(\theta_1)^2\sim\pi(\theta_1)$.*

Proof. Let $A=\mathrm{diag}\{1,\omega,\omega^2\}$ and $B=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\0&0&1\\1&0&0\end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$F:=\langle\pi(\theta_1),\eta,(A,A,A),(B,B,B)\rangle$$

is an elementary abelian 3-subgroup of G_0 such that $\mathfrak{g}_0^F=0$. Hence any non-identity element of F is conjugate to $\pi(\theta_1)$ or $\pi(\theta_2)$ by Lemma 2.4. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$ has 36 positive roots, among them only the six roots

$$\pm\alpha_4,\ \pm(\alpha_4+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5),\ \pm(2\alpha_4+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5)$$

are fixed under the action of η, and η acts as identity on their root vectors. Moreover the action of η permutes the elements $\{iH_{\alpha_j}:1\le j\le 6, j\neq 4\}\cup\{iH_{-\beta}\}$. Hence

$$\dim\mathfrak{g}^\eta=6+\frac{78-6}{3}=30=\dim\mathfrak{g}^{\pi(\theta_2)}.$$

Similar consideration shows

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\eta\pi(\theta_1)}=\mathfrak{g}^{\eta\pi(\theta_1)^2}=\frac{78-6}{3}=24=\dim\mathfrak{g}^{\pi(\theta_1)}.$$

By these one gets $\eta\sim\pi(\theta_2)$ and $\eta_1\pi(\theta_1)\sim\eta_1\pi(\theta_1)^2\sim\pi(\theta_1)$. □

Let $K_1 = \langle \pi(\theta_1), \eta \rangle$, $K_2 = \langle \pi(\theta_2), \eta \rangle$.

Lemma 2.6. *Any rank 2 elementary abelian 3-subgroups of G_0 with a nontrivial function m is conjugate to K_1 or K_2.*

Proof. Let F be a rank 2 elementary abelian 3-subgroup of G_0 with a nontrivial function m. By the same reason as in the proof for Lemma 2.4, any non-identity element of F is conjugate to $\pi(\theta_1)$ or $\pi(\theta_2)$. If F contains an element conjugate to $\pi(\theta_1)$, without loss of generality we assume that $\pi(\theta_1) \in F$. Then,

$$F \subset (G_0)^{\pi(\theta_1)} = ((\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (\omega I, \omega^{-1} I, I) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \eta \rangle.$$

As the function m on F is nontrivial, F is generated by $\pi(\theta_1)$ and another element of order 3 in the coset $\eta((G_0)^{\pi(\theta_1)})_0$. Each element of $\eta((G_0)^{\pi(\theta_1)})_0$ is conjugate to an element of the form $(A, I, I)\eta$. Since $((A, I, I)\eta)^3 = 1$ implies that $A \in \langle \omega I \rangle$, one has $F \sim \langle \pi(\theta_1), \eta \rangle = K_1$ in this case. If F contains no elements conjugate to $\pi(\theta_1)$, all non-identity elements of F are conjugate to $\pi(\theta_2)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\pi(\theta_2) \in F$. Then,

$$F \subset (G_0)^{\pi(\theta_2)} = ((\mathrm{Spin}(8) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (c, -1, 1), (-c, 1, -1) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \eta \rangle.$$

As the function m is nontrivial on F, it is generated by $\pi(\theta_2)$ and another element of order 3 in the coset $\eta((G_0)^{\pi(\theta_2)})_0$. Such an element is conjugate to an element of the form $[(A, 1, 1)]\eta$, $A \in \mathrm{Spin}(8)$. Moreover it is conjugate to η or $\eta' = [A]\eta$ (cf. [He]), where $A \in \mathrm{Spin}(8)^\eta \cong \mathrm{G}_2$, $A^3 = 1$, $(\mathrm{G}_2)^A$ being a torus and $(\mathrm{Spin}(8))^{\eta'} \cong \mathrm{PSU}(3)$. All nontrivial elements of $\langle \pi(\theta_2), \eta \rangle$ are conjugate to $\pi(\theta_2)$, hence $\langle \pi(\theta_2), \eta \rangle \not\sim \langle \pi(\theta_1), \eta \rangle$. On the other hand, one has $\langle \pi(\theta_2), \eta' \rangle \sim \langle \pi(\theta_1), \eta \rangle$ since the latter contains an element conjugate to $\pi(\theta_2)$. □

Lemma 2.7. *We have $\eta' \sim \eta'\pi(\theta_2) \sim \eta'\pi(\theta_2)^2 \sim \pi(\theta_1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, the only elements conjugate to $\pi(\theta_2)$ in $\langle \pi(\theta_1), \eta \rangle$ are η and η^2. Since $\langle \pi(\theta_2), \eta' \rangle \sim \langle \pi(\theta_1), \eta \rangle$ as the above proof of Lemma 2.6 shows, one gets $\eta' \sim \eta'\pi(\theta_2) \sim \eta'\pi(\theta_2)^2 \sim \pi(\theta_1)$. □

In $(G_0)^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (-I, -1), (\omega I, 1) \rangle$, let

$$F_1 = \langle [(-I, 1)], [(A_6, \mathbf{i})], [(B_6, \mathbf{j})] \rangle,$$

where

$$A_6 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{12}} \operatorname{diag}\{1, \omega_6, \omega_6^2, \omega_6^3, \omega_6^4, \omega_6^5\}$$

and

$$B_6 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{12}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let F be a finite abelian subgroup of G_0 satisfying the condition $(*)$. If F is not a 3-group, then $F \sim F_1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we may assume that $\sigma_1 \in F$. Then,

$$F \subset (G_0)^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-I,-1),(\omega I,1)\rangle.$$

For any element $(\lambda_1 I, \lambda_2) \in \langle(-I,-1),(\omega I,1)\rangle$, one has $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^3$. Hence $F \sim F_1$ by [Yu2], Proposition 2.1. □

Proposition 2.3. *We have* $W(F_1) = N_G(F_1)/C_G(F_1) \cong \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$.

Proof. Let K be the Sylow 3-subgroup of F_1. Then the function m on K is nontrivial and non-identity elements of K are conjugate to each other. Hence $K \sim K_2$ by Lemma 2.6. Let K' be the Sylow 2-subgroup of F_1. There is an injecrtive homomorphism $\phi : W(F_1) \longrightarrow W(K) \times W(K')$. By [Yu] Proposition 6.10, $W(K') \cong \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2)$; and by the descripotion of K_2, $W(K_2) \cong \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. Since

$$G^{\sigma_1} \cong ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-I,-1),(\omega I,1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle,$$

where

$$\tau[(X,\lambda)]\tau^{-1} = [(J_3\overline{X}J_3^{-1},\lambda)],$$

$X \in \mathrm{SU}(6)$, $\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, we have $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_1)}(\sigma_1) \cong P(1,2,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. Thus $|W(F_1)| = 7 \times |\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_1)}(\sigma_1)| = |\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2)| \times |\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)|$. Therefore $W(F_1) \cong \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. □

In

$$G^{\pi(\theta_1)} \cong ((\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I,\omega I,\omega I),(\omega I,\omega^{-1}I,I)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\eta\rangle,$$

let

$$F_2 = \langle\pi(\theta_1),[(A,A,A)],[(B,B,B)]\rangle$$

and

$$F_3 = \langle\pi(\theta_1),\eta,[(A,A,A)],[(B,B,B)]\rangle.$$

It is clear that F_3 is a maximal abelian subgroup of G, while F_2 is not.

Proposition 2.4. *Any elementary abelian 3-subgroup F of G_0 satisfying the condition $(*)$ is conjugate to F_2 or F_3.*

Proof. If the function m on F is trivial, F lifts to an isomorphic elementary abelian 3-subgroup F' of $G_1 = \mathrm{E}_6$. By Lemma 2.4, any non-identity element of F' is conjugate to θ_1. Without loss of generality we assume that $\theta_1 \in F'$. Then

$$F \subset (G_1)^{\theta_1} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I,\omega I,\omega I)\rangle$$

and hence $F \sim F_2$. If the function m is nontrivial on F, by Lemma 2.6 F contains a subgroup conjugate to K_1 or K_2. We may assume that $K_1 \subset F$ or $K_2 \subset F$. In the first case, one has

$$F \subset (G_0)^{K_1} = \mathrm{PSU}(3) \times K_1$$

and hence $F \sim F_3$. In the second case, one has

$$F \subset (G_0)^{K_2} = \mathrm{G}_2 \times K_2.$$

However G_2 does not possess any elementary abelian 3-subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. □

Proposition 2.5. *We have* $W(F_2) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{F}_3)$ *and there is an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^3 \longrightarrow W(F_3) \longrightarrow P(2,1,\mathbb{F}_3) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Since non-identity elements in F_2 are all conjugate to $\pi(\theta_1)$, $W(F_2)$ acts transitively on $F_2 - \{1\}$. On the other hand, from the construction of F_2 one can show that $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_1)}(\pi(\theta_1)) = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. Therefore $W(F_2) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{F}_3)$. In $G^{\pi(\theta_1)}$, let

$$F_3' = \langle \pi(\theta_1), [(A,A,A)], [(I,A,A^2)], [(B,B,B)] \rangle$$

and $K = \langle \pi(\theta_1), [(A,A,A)] \rangle$. Apparently F_3' is a maximal finite abelian subgroup of G_0. Hence $F_3' \sim F_3$. One can show that

$$\ker(m|_{F_3'}) = \langle \pi(\theta_1), [(A,A,A)] \rangle$$

and

$$\{x \in F_3' : x \in \pi(\theta_2)\} = \eta'' \ker(m|_{F_3}) \cup \eta''^2 \ker(m|_{F_3}),$$

where $\eta'' = [(I,A,A^2)]$. Let

$$K = \langle \pi(\theta_1), [(A,A,A)], [(I,A,A^2)] \rangle, \quad K' = \langle \pi(\theta_1), [(A,A,A)] \rangle.$$

Then, K' and K are stable under the action of $W(F_3)$. Hence there are homomorphisms $p : W(F_3') \longrightarrow W(K)$ and $p' : W(F_3') \longrightarrow W(K')$. By the uniqueness of conjugacy class of maximal abelian subgroups of G being isomorphic to $(c_3)^4$ and both $K.K'$ are stable under $W(F_3)$, one sees that p and p' are surjective. The action of $W(K)$ also stabilizes K', hence $W(K) \subset P(2,1,\mathbb{F}_3)$. It is clear that $W(K') \cong \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. Calculation in $G^{\pi(\theta_1)}$ shows

$$C_G(K') = \langle F_3', T_3 \times T_3 \times T_3, [(I,B,B^2)], \eta, \tau \rangle$$

and

$$C_G(K) = \langle F_3', T_3 \times T_3 \times T_3 \rangle,$$

where T_3 is the group of diagonal matrices in SU(3). From the equation about $C_G(K')$, we get $\ker p' \cong \mathbb{F}_3^3 \times (\mathbb{F}_3^3 \times \{\pm 1\})$. Here we note that the elements $[(I,B,B^2)]$, η, $\tau\rangle$ commute with $[(B,B,B)]$ and their conjugation action could map $\eta'' = [(I,A,A^2)]$ to any element in $\eta'' \ker(m|_{F_3}) \cup \eta''^2 \ker(m|_{F_3})$; elements in $T_3 \times T_3 \times T_3$ commute with η'' and their conjugation action could map $[(B,B,B)]$ to any element in $[(B,B,B)]K$. Hence $|W(F_3)| = |\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)| \times 2 \times 3^2 \times 3^3 = |\mathbb{F}_3^3| \times |P(2,1,\mathbb{F}_3)|$. From the equation about $C_G(K')$, we get $\ker p' \cong \mathbb{F}_3^3$ since the conjugation action of elements in $T_3 \times T_3 \times T_3$ could map $[(B,B,B)]$ to any element in $[(B,B,B)]K$. In turn we get the exact sequence in the proposition. □

Let

$$H_1 = (T_3 \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (\omega I, \omega^{-1} I, I) \rangle,$$

and

$$H_2 = (\mathrm{Spin}(8) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (c,-1,1), (-c,1,-1) \rangle,$$

which are Levi subgroups of G_0 with root systems $2A_2$ and D_4, where T_3 is a maximal torus of SU(3). In H_1, let

$$F_4 = T_3 \cdot \langle [(A,A)], [(B,B^{-1})] \rangle.$$

In H_2, let

$$F_5 = \langle e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_5e_6e_7e_8, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7\rangle \cdot (\mathrm{U}(1)\times \mathrm{U}(1)).$$

Proposition 2.6. *Any closed non-finite abelian subgroup F of G_0 satisfying the condition $(*)$ is either a maximal torus or is conjugate to one of F_4, F_5.*

Proof. Let $L = C_{G_0}(\mathrm{Lie}\, F)$. By Lemma 2.1, $F = Z(L)_0 \cdot (F \cap L_s)$ and $F' := F \cap L_s$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L_s satisfying the condition $(*)$, where $L_s = [L, L]$. If L_s is not simply connected, then the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type $\emptyset$, $2A_2$ or $2A_2 + A_1$. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is $\emptyset$, F is a maximal torus of G_0. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is if type $2A_2$, $L_s \cong (\mathrm{SU}(3)\times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I, \omega^{-1}I)\rangle$ and hence $F \sim F_4$. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type $2A_2 + A_1$,

$$L_s \cong (\mathrm{SU}(3)\times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I, \omega I)\rangle \times \mathrm{Sp}(1),$$

which possesses no finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. If L_s is simply connected, it can not have a type A factor. Hence the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type D_4 or D_5. By [Yu3], Proposition 2.2, in this case $F \sim F_5$. □

Proposition 2.7. *There are exact sequences*

$$1 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_3)^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3) \longrightarrow W(F_4) \longrightarrow D_6 \longrightarrow 1$$

and

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow W(F_5) \longrightarrow D_6 \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Since T_3 is the maximal torus of a subgroup H of G isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$, one has $W(T_3) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(T) \cong D_6$. On the other hand, by embedding H into H_2 one can show that $W(T_3) \cong D_6$. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_4) \longrightarrow W(T_3)$, which is clearly a surjective map. Using

$$C_G(T_3) = ((T_3 \times \mathrm{SU}(3)\times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I, \omega I, \omega I)), (\omega I, \omega^{-1}I, I)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle,$$

where $\tau[(X_1, X_2, X_3)]\tau^{-1} = [(X_1, X_3, X_2)]$, $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$, we get $\ker p \cong (\mathbb{F}_3)^2 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$. Therefore it follows the exact sequence for $W(F_4)$.

Denote by $T' = (F_5)_0$. The integral weight lattice of T' has a basis $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, where $\lambda_1 = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_5$, $\lambda_2 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6$. Since $|\lambda_1|^2 = |\lambda_2|^2 = -2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, the integral weight lattice of T' is isomorphic to the root lattice of A_2. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(T') \cong D_6$. By emebedding H_2 into

$$G^{\pi(\theta_2)} = ((\mathrm{Spin}(8)\times \mathrm{U}(1)\times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c, -1, 1), (-c, 1, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\eta, \tau\rangle,$$

where $(\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus i\mathbb{R}\oplus i\mathbb{R})^\eta = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus 0 \oplus 0$ and $(\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus i\mathbb{R}\oplus i\mathbb{R})^\eta = \mathfrak{so}(7)\oplus\Delta(i\mathbb{R})$, one gets $D_3 \subset W(T')$. On the other hand, the root system of type D_4, $\langle\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_3, \alpha_5\rangle$, is conjugate to the root system $\langle\alpha_4, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6\rangle$. As τ acts as identity on the latter, there is an outer involution acting as identity on $\langle\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_3, \alpha_5\rangle$ and hence as -1 on $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$. That means $-1 \in W(T')$. Therfore $W(T') \cong D_6$. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_5) \longrightarrow W(T')$, which is apparently a surjective map. Using $\pi(\theta_2) \in T'$ and the expression of $G^{\pi(\theta_2)}$, one shows $C_G(T') = H_2$. By this we get $\ker p \cong \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$. □

3. Disconnected simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{E_6}$

Following the notation of last section, we have $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$, $G_1 = \mathrm{E}_6$, $G_2 = \mathrm{E}_6 \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$, where $\tau^2 = 1$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6^\tau = \mathfrak{f}_4$. Let $\pi : G_2 \longrightarrow G$ be the adjoint homomorphism with $\ker\pi = \langle c\rangle$, $c = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(H_1' + 2H_3' + H_5' + 2H_6'))$.

Lemma 3.1. *If F is a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and being not contained in G_0, then for any element $y \in F - F\cap G_0$, $o(y) = 2, 4, 6$ or 8.*

Proof. Since F is an abelian subgroup of G not contained in G_0, the function m on $F \cap G_0$ is trivial. By Steinberg's theorem $F \cap G_0 \subset (G^x)_0$ for any $x \in F \cap G_0$. For any $y \in F - F\cap G_0$, let $x = y^2$. Then we have $F \subset \langle (G^x)_0, y\rangle$. Let $Z_x = Z((G^x)_0)_0$. Since Z_x commutes with $F \cap G_0$, $y^2 = x \in F \cap G_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0^F = 0$, $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ acts as -1 on Z_x. Apparently one has $G^y \subset G^x$. By [Yu2] Lemma 5.3, one has $\mathrm{rank}\, G^y = 4$. Hence $\mathrm{rank}[\mathfrak{g}_0^x, \mathfrak{g}_0^x]^y = 4$, in particular $\mathrm{rank}[\mathfrak{g}_0^x, \mathfrak{g}_0^x] \geq 4$. Write x as the form $x = x_s x_z$ with $x_s \in Z([(G^x)_0, (G^x)_0])$ and $x_z \in Z_x$. The root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is one of the following types (cf. [Os]) D_4, A_4, $A_3 + A_1$, $2A_2$, $A_2 + 2A_1$, $4A_1$, D_5, A_5, $A_4 + A_1$, $A_3 + 2A_1$, $2A_2 + A_1$, $A_5 + A_1$, $3A_2$. We may assume that $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ maps a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ to itself and furthermore it maps a simple system Π of the root system $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ to itself.

In the case of $\mathrm{rank}[\mathfrak{g}_0^x, \mathfrak{g}_0^x] = 4$, y acts on $[\mathfrak{g}_0^x, \mathfrak{g}_0^x]$ as an inner automorphism since $\mathrm{rank}[\mathfrak{g}_0^x, \mathfrak{g}_0^x]^y = 4$. Hence y commutes with x_s. Thus y commutes with x_z as it commutes with x. Therefore $x_z^2 = 1$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type A_4, without loss of generality we may assume that it has $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_2\}$ as a simple system. The orthogonal complement of it is $\mathrm{span}\{\alpha_6, \gamma\}$, where $\gamma = 2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_2 + 5\alpha_5$. Thus $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ acts as identity on $\mathrm{span}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_2\}$ and as -1 on $\mathrm{span}\{\alpha_6, \gamma\}$. In this case $\mathrm{Ad}(y)\alpha_5 \notin \Delta$, which is a contradiction. If the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $A_3 + A_1$, without loss of generality we may assume that it has $\{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\} \cup \{\beta\}$ as a simple system. The orthogonal complement of it is $\mathrm{span}\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$. Here $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6$, $\gamma_1 = 4\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, $\gamma_2 = \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 4\alpha_6$. Thus $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ acts as identity on $\mathrm{span}\{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \beta\}$ and as -1 on $\mathrm{span}\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$. In this case $\mathrm{Ad}(y)\alpha_1 \notin \Delta$, which is a contradiction. If the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $2A_2$, without loss of generality we may assume that it has $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\} \cup \{\alpha_5, \alpha_6\}$ as a simple system. The orthogonal complement it is $\mathrm{span}\{\beta, \alpha_2\}$. Thus $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ acts as identity on $\mathrm{span}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_6\}$ and as -1 on $\mathrm{span}\{\beta, \alpha_2\}$. In this case $\mathrm{Ad}(y)\alpha_4 \notin \Delta$, which is a contradiction. If the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $A_2 + 2A_1$, without loss of generality we may assume that it has $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_4\} \cup \{\alpha_1\} \cup \{\alpha_6\}$ as a simple system. The orthogonal complement of it is $\mathrm{span}\{\gamma_4, \gamma_5\}$, where $\gamma_4 = 3\alpha_1 + 6\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_2$, $\gamma_5 = 2\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_4 + 6\alpha_5 + 3\alpha_6$. Thus $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ acts as identity on $\mathrm{span}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_6\}$ and as -1 on $\mathrm{span}\{\gamma_4, \gamma_5\}$. In this case $\mathrm{Ad}(y)\alpha_3 \notin \Delta$, which is a contradiction. If the the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type D_4 or $4A_1$, then $x_s^2 = 1$ and hence $x^2 = (x_s)^2(x_z)^2 = 1$.

In the case of $\mathrm{rank}[\mathfrak{g}_0^x, \mathfrak{g}_0^x] = 5$, the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is not of type A_5 or $A_4 + A_1$ since $\mathrm{rank}[\mathfrak{u}_0^x, \mathfrak{u}_0^x]^y = 4$ can not hold for these cases. If the root system $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $2A_2 + A_1$, similarly as in the A_4 case we get a contraction by considering the action of $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ on Δ. If the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type D_5, one has $(G^x)_0 = (\mathrm{Spin}(10) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c, i)\rangle$. Thus $x = (1, t)$ for some $t \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. Therefore $x = yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}$ and

hence $x^2 = 1$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $A_3 + 2A_1$, without loss of generality we may assume that it has $\{-\beta, \alpha_2, \alpha_4\} \sqcup \{\alpha_1\} \sqcup \{\alpha_6\}$ as a simple system. Then,

$$(G^x)_0 \cong (\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (iI, -1, 1, i), (I, -1, -1, -1)\rangle.$$

Hence $x = [(1, 1, (-1)^k, t)]$ for some $t \in \mathrm{U}(1)$ and $k = 0$ or 1. If $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ stabilizes the two roots (α_1, α_6), then $x = yxy^{-1} = (1, 1, (-1)^k, t^{-1}) = x^{-1}$. Hence $x^2 = 1$. If $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ permutes the two roots (α_1, α_6), then

$$x = yxy^{-1} = (1, (-1)^k, 1, t^{-1}) = x(1, 1, 1, (-1)^k t^{-2}).$$

Thus $t^2 = (-1)^k$. In this case x is a power of $x_0 = (1, 1, -1, i)$. As $o(x_0) = 4$, we have $o(x) = 1$, 2 or 4.

In the case of $\mathrm{rank}[\mathfrak{g}_0^x, \mathfrak{g}_0^x] = 6$, the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $A_5 + A_1$ or $3A_2$. Thus $o(x) = 2$ or 3. □

For the involution $\sigma_1 \in G$, we have

$$G^{\sigma_1} = ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (\omega I, 1), (-I, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\tau^2 = 1$ and $\tau([X, \lambda])\tau^{-1} = [(J_3\overline{X}(J_3)^{-1}, \lambda)]$, $X \in \mathrm{SU}(6)$, $\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. Let

$$F_6 = \langle [(J_3, 1)\tau], [(\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, J_1\}, \mathbf{i})], [(\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1, I_{1,1}\}, \mathbf{j})]\rangle.$$

It is clear that F_6 is a maximal abelian subgroup of G.

Lemma 3.2. *Any finite abelian subgroup F of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and being not contained in G_0 either contains an outer involution, or is conjugate to F_6.*

Proof. Suppose that F does not contain an outer involution. By Lemma 3.1, $o(y) = 4$ or 8 for any $y \in F - F \cap G_0$. In the case of $o(y) = 4$, let $x = y^2$. Then $x \sim \sigma_1$ or σ_2. If $x \sim \sigma_1$, we may and do assume that $x = \sigma_1$. Then we have

$$F \subset G^{\sigma_1} = ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (\omega I, 1), (-I, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

Since $y^2 = x = \sigma_1$, one has $y \sim [(I, \mathbf{i})]\tau$ or $[(J_3, 1)]\tau$. Then, $F \subset ((\mathrm{Sp}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (-I, -1)\rangle) \cdot \langle y \rangle$ or $F \subset ((\mathrm{SO}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (-I, -1)\rangle) \cdot \langle y \rangle$. Since the first group has a one-dimensional center, it does not possess any finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. For the second group, let F' be the image of the projection of F to $\mathrm{SO}(6)/\langle -I \rangle$. In the terminology of [Yu2] Section 3, one has $k = 1$ and $s_0 = 3$. Moreover considering determinant one gets $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2, \mu_3$ and $\mu_2 \neq \mu_3$. Therefore $F \sim F_6$. If $x \sim \sigma_2$, we may and do assume that $x = \sigma_2$. Then,

$$F \subset G^{\sigma_2} = ((\mathrm{Spin}(10) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (c, i)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\tau([x, \lambda]) = [(e_{10} x e_{10}^{-1}, \lambda^{-1})]$, $x \in \mathrm{Spin}(10)$, $\lambda \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. Since $y^2 = x = \sigma_1$, one has $y \sim (e_1 e_2, 1)\tau$ or $y \sim (e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4 e_5 e_6, 1)\tau$. Thus

$$F \subset G^y = ((\mathrm{Spin}(7) \times \mathrm{Spin}(3))/\langle (-1, -1)\rangle) \cdot \langle y \rangle.$$

For the reason of clarity, we denote by $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_7$ and e_8, e_9, e_{10} respectively orthonomal base of Euclidean spaces defining $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(3)$. Let F' be the intersection of F with $(\mathrm{Spin}(7) \times \mathrm{Spin}(3))/\langle (-1, -1)\rangle$. We may assume that $F' \subset (\langle e_1 e_2, \ldots, e_1 e_7\rangle \times \langle e_8 e_9, e_8 e_{10}\rangle)/\langle -1 \rangle$. Let F_1', F_2' be the images of the projections of F' to $\mathrm{Spin}(7)/\langle -1 \rangle$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(3)/\langle -1 \rangle$, and $F_1'' = F \cap \mathrm{Spin}(7)$. Since F does

not contain any outer involution, for any $x \in F_1''$, $x^2 = 1$; for any $x = [(x_1, x_2)] \in F'$ with $x_2 \neq \pm 1$, $x_1^2 = -1$. By this one can show that $\mathfrak{so}(7)^{F_1'}$ can not hold. This is a contradiction. In the case of $o(y) = 8$, let $x = y^2$. By the proof of Lemma 3.1, one has

$$(G_0)^x \cong (\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (iI, -1, 1, i), (I, -1, -1, -1)\rangle,$$

the action of $\mathrm{Ad}(y)$ on $\mathfrak{g}^x$ permutes the two $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ factors, and $x = [(1, 1, -1, i)]$. One can show that

$$G^x \cong ((\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (iI, -1, 1, i), (I, -1, -1, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\tau[(X, A, B, \lambda)]\tau^{-1} = [(X, B, A, \lambda^{-1})]$, $X \in \mathrm{SU}(4)$, $A, B \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, $\lambda \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. Let $y = [(X, A, B, \lambda)]\tau$. Then

$$y^2 = [(X^2, AB, BA, 1)].$$

Since $y^2 = x = [(1, 1, -1, i)] = [(-iI, -1, -1, 1)] = [(iI, 1, 1, 1)]$, one has $y \sim (\pm\frac{1\pm i}{\sqrt{2}} I_{1,3}, \pm 1, 1, 1)\tau$. Then, $\dim Z(G^y) = 1$ and hence F does not satisfy the condition $(*)$. □

Proposition 3.1. *There is an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}'((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^3) \longrightarrow W(F_6) \longrightarrow P(2, 1, \mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}'((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^3)$ *means the space of linear maps* $f : (\mathbb{F}_2)^3 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2)^3$ *with* $\mathrm{tr}\, f = 0$

Proof. Let $K = \{x \in F_6 : x^2 = 1\}$ and $K' = \{x \in K : x \sim \sigma_2\} \cup \{1\}$ (cf. [HY]). Then we have $K = \{x^2 : x \in F_6\}$. Morever K and K' are elementary abelian 2-subgroups with $\mathrm{rank}\, K = 3$ and $\mathrm{rank}\, K' = 2$. In the terminology of [Yu], K is the subgroup $F_{2,1}'$ and one has $W(K) \cong P(2, 1, \mathbb{F}_2)$ by [Yu], Proposition 6.10. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_6) \longrightarrow W(K)$, which is clearly a surjective map. By considering in

$$G^{\sigma_1} = ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (\omega I, 1), (-I, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

one shows

$$C_G(K) = \langle F_6, [(X_1, X_2, X_3, \lambda)] : X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \mathrm{U}(2), \det(X_1X_2X_3) = 1, \lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)\rangle.$$

Using the elements $(iI_{1,1}, 1, 1)$, $(1, iI_{1,1}, 1)$, $(1, 1, iI_{1,1})$, $(J_1, 1, 1)$, $(1, J_1, 1)$, $(1, 1, J_1)$, $(iI_2, -iI_2, I_2)$, $(I_2, iI_2, -iI_2)$ of $\mathrm{U}(2)^3$, one can show that $\mathrm{Hom}'((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^3) \subset \ker p$, where we identify both of F_6/K and K with $(\mathbb{F}_2)^3$. On the other hand, if an element $g \in C_G(K)$ also cummutes with $[(\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, J_1\}, \mathbf{i})]$ and $[(\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1, I_{1,1}\}, \mathbf{j})]$, then it lies in $\langle F_6, [(\lambda_1 I_2, \lambda_2 I_2, \lambda_3 I_3, \lambda)] : \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathrm{U}(1), \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 = 1, \lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)\rangle$. Thus $g([(J_3, 1)]\tau)g^{-1}([(J_3, 1)]\tau)^{-1}$ can not be equal to $\sigma_1 = [(I, -1)]$ and hence $\ker p$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^3)$. Therfore $\ker p = \mathrm{Hom}'((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^3)$. □

In $G^{\sigma_3} = \mathrm{F}_4 \times \langle \sigma_3 \rangle$, let F_7 be a subgroup isomorphic to $(C_3)^3 \times C_2$, containing σ_3 an with each element x of order 3 satisfying that $\mathfrak{f}_4^x \cong \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3)$ ([Yu3], Section

3); let F_8 be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of rank 6 with $\sigma_3 \in F_7$, i.e., the subgroup $F_{2,3}$ as in [Yu], Section 6; In $G^{\sigma_4} = \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle \times \langle \sigma_3\rangle$, let

$$F_9 = \langle [\mathbf{i}I], [\mathbf{j}I], [\mathrm{diag}\{-1,-1,1,1\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{-1,1,-1,1\}]\rangle],$$
$$F_{10} = \langle [\mathbf{i}I], [\mathbf{j}I], [I_{2,2}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1'\}],$$
$$F_{11} = \langle [\mathbf{i}I], [\mathbf{j}I], [I_{2,2}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1]\},$$
$$F_{12} = \langle [\mathbf{i}I], [\mathbf{j}I], [I_{2,2}], [J_2'], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1']\}.$$

Among F_7, F_8, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}, F_{12}, the maximal abelian ones are F_7, F_8, F_{11}, F_{12}.

Proposition 3.2. *Let F be a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and being not contained in G_0. If F contains an outer involution, then it is conjugate to one of F_7, F_8, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}, F_{12}.*

Proof. Since F contains an outer involution, we may assume that it contains σ_3, or it contains σ_4 and without elements conjugate to σ_3. In the case of $\sigma_3 \in F$, by [Yu3], Proposition 3.2, one has $F \sim F_7$ or $F \sim F_8$. In the case of F contains σ_4 and without elements conjugate to σ_3, one has $F \subset G^{\sigma_4} = \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle \times \langle \sigma_3\rangle$. Let $F' = F \cap \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$. Since F contains no elements conjugate to σ_3, F' contains no elements conjugate to $[I_{1,3}]$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ (cf. [HY], Page 413). By [Yu2], Proposition 4.1, it is associated two integers $s_0 \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ with $4 = 2^{k-1}s_0$. Then, $k = 1$, 2, 3. If $k = 1$, then $s_0 = 4$ and $F \sim F_9$. If $k = 2$, then $s_0 = 2$ and we have two functions μ_1, μ_2 on $F'/B_{F'}$. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, then $F \sim F_{10}$. If $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$, then $F \sim F_{11}$. If $k = 3$, then $F \sim F_{12}$. □

Proposition 3.3. *We have*

$$W(F_7) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_3),$$
$$W(F_8) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^2 \rtimes P(2,3;\mathbb{F}_2)),$$
$$W(F_9) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^4 \rtimes ((\mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_2)^2, (\mathbb{F}_2)^2) \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2))),$$
$$W(F_{10}) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^5 \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(1,1;0,1),$$
$$|W(F_{11})| = 3 \times 2^{12},$$
$$W(F_{12}) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^6 \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(2;0,1),$$

Proof. For F_7, $W(F_7)$ must fixes σ_3. The conclusion follows from [Yu3], Proposition 3. Since F_8, F_9, F_{10} and F_{12} are elementary abelian 2-subgroups, the description of their Weyl groups is given in [Yu], Proposition 6.10. For F_{11}, let $K = \{x \in F_{11} : x^2 = 1\}$, $K' = K \cap G_0$ and $z = [I_{2,2}]$. Since $\{x^2 : x \in F_{11}\} = \langle z\rangle$, the action of $W(F_{11})$ on F_{11} fixes z and stabilizes K. Hence there is a homomorphism $p : W(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Stab}_{W(K)}(z)$. One can calculate that $C_G(K) = \langle F_{11}, [J_2'], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1'\}]\rangle$. The conjugation action of $[J_2']$, $[\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1'\}]$ maps $[\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1\}]$ to the element $[\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}\,\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1\}]$, $[I_{2,2}\,\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1\}]$ respectively. Hence $\ker p \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^2$. We show that p is surjective. Since the elements in $\sigma_4 K'$ are all conjugate to σ_4, both $W(F_{11})$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(K)}(z)$ act transively on this set. Note that $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(K)}(z)$ is an index 3 subgroup of $W(K)$ while $\sigma_4 K'$ has 16 elements, hence $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(K)}(z)$ also acts transitively on the set $\sigma_4 K'$. By this, to show p is surjective we just need to show $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_{11})}(\sigma_4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Stab}_{W(K)}(\{z, \sigma_4\})$ is surjective. Consideration in G^{σ_4} shows it

is of this case. By [Yu], Proposition 6.10, one has $|W(K)| = 16 \times |\operatorname{Sp}(2,0;0,1)| = 16 \times 16 \times 6^2 = 3^2 \times 2^{10}$. Therefore $|W(F_{11})| = \frac{4}{3}|W(K)| = 3 \times 2^{12}$. □

The proof of the following lemma is along the same line as that of [Yu3], Lemma 4.1, we omit it here.

Lemma 3.3. *Let F be a non-finite closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and being not contained in G_0. Then $\operatorname{Lie} F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is conjugate to the center of a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})^{\sigma_3}$.*

Let H_1, H_2, H_3 be the following subgroups of $G = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$,

$$H_1 = ((\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle,$$
$$H_2 = ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(\omega I, 1), (-I, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle,$$
$$H_3 = ((\mathrm{Spin}(10) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c, i)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle,$$

where

$$\tau[(X_1, X_2, X_3)]\tau^{-1} = [(X_1, X_3, X_2)],$$
$$\tau[(X, \lambda)]\tau^{-1} = [(J_3 \overline{X} J_3^{-1}, \lambda)],$$
$$\tau[(x, \mu)]\tau^{-1} = [(e_{10} x e_{10}^{-1}, \mu^{-1})],$$

for $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$, $X \in \mathrm{SU}(6)$, $x \in \mathrm{Spin}(10)$, $\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, $\mu \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. The root system of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_1^\tau$, $\mathfrak{h}_2^\tau$, $\mathfrak{h}_3^\tau$ is the sub-root system $A_2^L + A_2^S$, $A_1^L + C_3$, B_4 of F_4, the root system of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})^\tau$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})^\tau$. By Lemma 3.3, we may assume that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{k}$, where $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{Lie} F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Write for $K = G^{\sigma_3}$, $\mathfrak{l} = C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$, $\mathfrak{l}' = C_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a})$, $L = C_G(\mathfrak{a})$, $L' = C_K(\mathfrak{a})$, $A = Z(L)_0$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let F be a non-finite closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and being not contained in G_0. If $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{k}$, then the root system of the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}' = C_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a})$ is one of the types $\emptyset$, B_2, B_3, C_3.*

Proof. As $\mathfrak{l}'$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{f}_4(\mathbb{C})$, its root system is one of the following types: $\emptyset$, A_1^L, A_1^S, A_2^L, A_2^S, $A_1^L + A_1^S$, B_2, B_3, C_3, $A_2^L + A_1^S$, $A_2^S + A_1^L$. Each of them is contained in one of B_4, $A_2^L + A_2^S$, $A_1^L + C_3$. Hence a conjugate of L is contained in some H_i, $i = 1$, 2 or 3. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_1^L, one has $L \subset H_1$ and

$$L = (\mathrm{U}(2) \times T_3 \times T_3)/\langle(\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I)\rangle \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle.$$

Let $L'' = (\mathrm{SU}(2) \times (T_3'/\langle\omega I\rangle)) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$, where $T_3' = \{(X, X^{-1}) : X \in T_3\} \subset T_3 \times T_3$. Then $F'' := F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a direct factor of L'', it does not possess any finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$, which is a contradiction. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_1^S, one has $L \subset H_1$ and

$$L = (T_3 \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(2))/\langle(\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I)\rangle \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle.$$

Let $L'' = ((\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(-I, -I, -1), (I, I, \omega)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$, where $\mathrm{U}(1) = \{(1, \operatorname{diag}\{\lambda, \lambda, \lambda^{-2}\}, \operatorname{diag}\{\lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}, \lambda^2\}) : \lambda \in \mathrm{U}(1)\}$ Then $F'' := F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Choosing an element

$x \in F'' - F'' \cap G_0$, we may assume that $x = [(A, I, 1)]\tau$ for some $A \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Since $x[(I, -I, i)]x^{-1} = (-I, I, -i) = [(-I, -I, -1)](I, -I, i)$, one has $(L_0'')^x = \Delta(\mathrm{SU}(2)^A) \times \langle [(I, -I, i)] \rangle$. As $\mathrm{SU}(2)^A \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{U}(1)$, both have no finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$, we get a contradiction. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_2^L, we have $L \subset H_1$ and

$$L = (\mathrm{SU}(3) \times T_3 \times T_3)/\langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I) \rangle \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

Let $L'' = ((\mathrm{SU}(3) \times T_3')/\langle (I, \omega I) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Then $F'' := F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ is a direct factor of L'', it does not possess any finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. We get a contradiction. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_2^S. We have $L \subset H_1$ and

$$L = (T_3 \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I) \rangle \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

Let $L'' = ((\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle (\omega I, \omega^{-1} I) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Then $F'' := F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Choosing an element $x \in F'' - F'' \cap G_0$, we may assume that $x = [(A, I)]\tau$ for some $A \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$. Since $x[(\omega I, \omega^{-1} I)]x^{-1} = (\omega I, \omega^{-1} I)^{-1}$, one has $(L_0'')^x = \Delta(\mathrm{SU}(3)^A)$. As $\mathrm{SU}(3)^A \cong \mathrm{SU}(3)$, $\mathrm{U}(2)$ or T_3, all having no finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. We get a contradiction. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type $A_1^L + A_1^S$, we have $L \subset H_1$ and

$$L = (\mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(2))/\langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I) \rangle \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

Let

$$L'' = (\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle (I, -I, -I, -1), (I, I, I, \omega) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\mathrm{U}(1) = \{(1, \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda I_2, \lambda^{-2}\}, \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda^{-1} I_2, \lambda^2\}) : \lambda \in \mathrm{U}(1)\}$. Then $F'' := F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since the first $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a direct factor of L'', it does not possess any finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. We get a contradiction. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type $A_2^L + A_1^S$, we have $L \subset H_1$ and

$$L = (\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(2))/\langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I) \rangle \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

Let $L'' = ((\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle (I, -I, -I, -1), (I, I, I, \omega) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$, where $\mathrm{U}(1) = \{(1, \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda I_2, \lambda^{-2}\}, \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda^{-1} I_2, \lambda^2\}) : \lambda \in \mathrm{U}(1)\}$. Then $F'' := F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ is a direct factor of L'', it does not possess any finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. We get a contradiction. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type $A_1^L + A_2^S$, we have $L \subset H_1$ and

$$L = (\mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle (\omega I, \omega I, \omega I), (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I) \rangle \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

Let $L'' = ((\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle (I, \omega I, \omega^{-1} I) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Then $F'' := F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a direct factor of L'', it does not possess any finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. We get a contradiction. $\square$

In

$$H_3 = ((\mathrm{Spin}(10) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (c, i)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

let

$$F_{13} = \langle S_3, [(-1, 1)], \tau, [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1)], [(e_1e_3e_5e_7, 1)]\rangle,$$

where $S_3 = \{\cos\theta + \sin\theta e_9e_{10} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$. In

$$H_2 = ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (\omega I, 1), (-I, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

let

$$F_{14} = \langle S_1, S_2, [I_{4,2}], [I_{2,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_2\}], [J_3]\tau\rangle,$$

$$F_{15} = \langle S_1, [I_{2,4}], [I_{4,2}], [\mathrm{diag}\{-1, 1, -1, 1, 1, 1\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1\}], [J_3]\tau\rangle,$$

where $S_1 = \mathrm{U}(1) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and $S_2 = \{\mathrm{diag}\{1, 1, 1, 1, \lambda, \lambda^{-1}\} \in \mathrm{SU}(6) : |\lambda| = 1\}$. Let $F_{16} = \langle T', \tau\rangle$

Proposition 3.4. *Any non-finite closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and being not contained in G_0 is conjugate to one of F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{15}, F_{16}.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is one of the types: $\emptyset$, B_2, B_3, C_3. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type $\emptyset$, one has $F \sim F_{16}$. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type B_2, one has $L \subset H_2$ and

$$L = (S(\mathrm{U}(4) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle (\omega I, \omega, \omega, 1), (-I, -1, -1, -1)\rangle \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\tau[(X, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)]\tau^{-1} = [(J_2\overline{X}J_2^{-1}, \overline{\lambda_2}, \overline{\lambda_1}, \lambda_3)]$. Let

$$L'' = ((\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (-I, -1), (I, \omega I)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\mathrm{U}(1) = \{(\mathrm{diag}\{\lambda I_4, \lambda^{-2}, \lambda^{-2}\}, 1) : \lambda \in \mathrm{U}(1)\}$. With calculation one shows that $Z(L'') \subset A := Z(L)_0$. Then, $F = A \cdot F''$ and $F'' = F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since $F'' \not\subset (L'')_0$, for any $[(X, \lambda)] \in F'' \cap (L'')_0$, one has $\tau[(X, \lambda)]\tau^{-1} = [(J_2\overline{X}(J_2)^{-1}, \lambda^{-1})]$ being conjugate to $[(X, \lambda)]$ in L''. Hence $\lambda = \pm 1$ or $\pm i$. Thus F'' is conjugate to a subgroup of the form $F'' = F' \times \langle [(iI, i)]\rangle$, where $F' \subset \mathrm{SU}(4) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. By [Yu2], Proposition 5.1, one shows that $k = 0$ for the terminology there and

$$F' \sim \langle [(\mathrm{diag}\{-1, -1, 1, 1\}, 1)], [(\mathrm{diag}\{-1, 1, -1, 1\}, 1)], [(I, -1)], [J_2]\tau\rangle.$$

Therefore $F \sim F_{14}$. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type B_3, we have $L \subset H_3$ and

$$L \cong ((\mathrm{Spin}(8) \times \mathrm{Spin}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle (c', c'', i), (-1, -1, 1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $c' = e_1e_2 \cdots e_8$, $c'' = e_9e_{10}$, and $\tau[(x, y, \lambda)]\tau^{-1} = [(e_8xe_8^{-1}, y, \lambda^{-1})]$. Let $L'' = ((\mathrm{Spin}(8) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (-1, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. With calculation one shows that $Z(L'') \subset A := Z(L)_0$. Then, $F = A \cdot F''$ and $F'' = F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since $F'' \not\subset (L'')_0$, for any $[(x, \lambda)] \in F'' \cap (L'')_0$, one has $\tau[(x, \lambda)]\tau^{-1} = [(e_8xe_8^{-1}, \lambda^{-1})]$ being conjugate to $[(x, \lambda)]$ in L''. Hence $\lambda \in \langle i \rangle$. Thus F'' is conjugate to a subgroup of the form $F'' = F' \times \langle [(c', i)]\rangle$, where $F' \subset \mathrm{Spin}(8) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle \cong \mathrm{Pin}(8)$. Similarly as the consideration in [Yu3], Section 2, one can show that

$$F' \sim \langle e_8, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7\rangle \text{ or } \langle -1, c'e_8, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7\rangle.$$

In L'', $-c'e_8 = e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6e_7 = \tau$ and $e_8 = [(-c', 1)]\tau$. Since

$$[(c', 1)]\tau = [(c', i)][(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}, 1)]\tau[(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}, 1)]^{-1},$$

both cases lead to $F \sim F_{13}$. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type C_3, we have $L \subset H_2$ and

$$L = ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle(\omega I, 1), (-I, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle.$$

Let $L'' = (\mathrm{SU}(6)/\langle\omega I\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$. Then $F = Z(L)_0 \cdot F''$, $F'' := F \cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$ and $Z(L'') \subset Z(L)_0 \subset F''$. Using [Yu2], Proposition 5.1, one can show that $k = 0$ for the terminology there and

$$F'' \sim \langle[-I], I_{2,4}, I_{4,2}, [\mathrm{diag}\{-1, 1, -1, 1, 1, 1\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1\}], [J_3]\tau\rangle.$$

Therefore $F \sim F_{15}$. □

The proof of Proposition 3.4 indicates that each subgroup F obtained there contains an outer involution. In the case of F contains an element conjugate to σ_3, reducing to F_4 one gets a unique conjugacy class of subgroups with dimension 1 from finite abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$, which is the one with F_{13}. In the case of F contains an element conjugate to σ_4 and without elements conjugate to σ_3, reducing to $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ one gets a conjugacy class of dimension 2 from finite abelian subgroups of $(\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-1, -1)\rangle \cong \mathrm{SO}(4)$, which is the one with F_{14}; and two conjugacy classes of dimension 1 from finite abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{SU}(4)/\langle -I\rangle \cong \mathrm{SO}(6)$ and of $(\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2))/\langle(-1, -I)\rangle$, the ones with F_{15} and F_{13} respectively.

Proposition 3.5. *We have*

$$W(F_{13}) = (\mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)) \times \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\},$$
$$W(F_{15}) = (\mathbb{F}_2^5 \rtimes S_6) \times \{\pm 1\},$$

and there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_4 \longrightarrow W(F_{14}) \longrightarrow D_4 \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Since $(F_{13})_0$ is a maximal torus of an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ subgroup, one has $W((F_{13})_0) \cong \{\pm 1\}$. There is a homomorphsim $p : W(F_{13}) \longrightarrow W((F_{13})_0)$, which is clearly a surjective map. Considering in

$$C_G((F_{13})_0) \cong ((\mathrm{Spin}(8) \times \mathrm{Spin}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle(c', c'', i), (-1, -1, 1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$$

shows $\ker p = (\mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)) \times \{\pm 1\}$, where $\{\pm 1\}$ means the conjugation action of $c' \in \mathrm{Spin}(8)$, which maps τ to $[(-1, 1)]\tau$ and acts as identity on

$$\langle[(-1, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1)], [(e_1e_3e_5e_7, 1)]\rangle;$$

$\mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2))$ means the conjugation action on

$$\langle[(-1, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1)], [(e_1e_3e_5e_7, 1)]\rangle$$

coming from elements in $\mathrm{Spin}(7) = \mathrm{Spin}(8)^\tau$. On the other hand, since F_{13} is contained in a subgroup $(\mathrm{Spin}(7) \times \mathrm{Spin}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle(-1, 1, -1), (-1, -1, 1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$ with $(F_{13})_0$ being a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Spin}(3)$, we actually have $W(F_{13}) = (\mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)) \times \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\}$.

Recall that the root system F_4 has a sub-root system of type $2B_2$. Hence $(F_{14})_0$ is the maximal torus of a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$. Thus $W((F_{14})_0) \cong D_4$. There is a homomorphsim $p : W(F_{14}) \longrightarrow W((F_{14})_0)$. It is clear that p is surjective. Consideration in

$$C_G((F_{14})_0) \cong (S(\mathrm{U}(4) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle(\omega I, \omega, \omega, 1), (-I, -1, -1, -1)\rangle \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$$

shows

$$\ker p \cong \mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_4.$$

Here in $L'' = ((\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(-I, -1), (I, \omega I)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$,

$$F' = F \cap L'' = \langle[(\mathrm{diag}\{-1, -1, 1, 1\}, 1)], [(\mathrm{diag}\{-1, 1, -1, 1\}, 1)], [(I, -1)], [J_2]\tau\rangle.$$

The $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ comes from the conjugation action of elements

$$[(\mathrm{diag}\{i, -i, 1, 1\}, 1)], [(\mathrm{diag}\{i, 1, -i, 1\}, 1)], [(iI, 1)], [(\mathrm{diag}\{\eta, \eta, \eta, -\eta\}, \eta)],$$

where $\eta = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$. The S_4 means permutation on the diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SU}(4)$.

Since $(F_{15})_0$ is a maximal torus of an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ subgroup, one has $W((F_{15})_0) \cong \{\pm 1\}$. There is a homomorphsim $p : W(F_{15}) \longrightarrow W((F_{15})_0)$. It is clear that p is surjective. Considering in

$$C_G((F_{15})_0) \cong ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle(\omega I, 1), (-I, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$$

shows $\ker p = (\mathbb{F}_2)^5 \rtimes S_6$. The $\mathbb{F}_2^5$ comes from the conjugation action of elements

$$[(\mathrm{diag}\{iI_{1,1}, I_4\}, 1)], [(\mathrm{diag}\{1, iI_{1,1}, I_3\}, 1)], [(\mathrm{diag}\{I_2, iI_{1,1}, I_2\}, 1)],$$

$$[(\mathrm{diag}\{I_3, iI_{1,1}, 1\}, 1)], [(\mathrm{diag}\{I_4, iI_{1,1}\}, 1)].$$

The S_6 means permutation on the diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SU}(6)$. On the other hand, since F_{15} is contained in $H_2 = ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(\omega I, 1), (-I, -1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle\tau\rangle$ with $(F_{15})_0$ being a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, we actually have $W(F_{15}) = (\mathbb{F}_2^5 \rtimes S_6) \times \{\pm 1\}$. □

4. Simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{E_7}$

Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ and $\pi : \mathrm{E}_7 \longrightarrow G$ be the adjoint homomorphism. Denote by $c = \exp(\pi i(H_2' + H_5' + H_7')) \in \mathrm{E}_7$. Then, $o(c) = 2$ and $\ker \pi = Z(\mathrm{E}_7) = \langle c\rangle$ (cf. [HY] Section 2).

Lemma 4.1. *Let F be a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. For any $x \in F$,*

1. *if $o(x) = p$ is a prime, then $p = 2$ or 3.*
2. *If $o(x) = 3^k$, $k \geq 1$, then $k = 1$ and the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $A_5 + A_2$.*

Proof. Let $1 \neq x \in F$ be an element of order prime to 2. Then there exists $y \in \mathrm{E}_7$ such that $\pi(y) = x$ and $o(y) = o(x)$. Since $y \not\sim cy$, one has $G^x = (\mathrm{E}_7)^y/\langle c\rangle$ and hence it is connected by Steinberg's theorem. Since F satisfies the condition $(*)$, G^x is semisimple. Thus the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is one of the following types (cf. [Os]): A_7, $D_6 + A_1$, $D_4 + 3A_1$, $A_5 + A_2$, $2A_3 + A_1$, $7A_1$. As it is assumed that $o(x)$ is prime to 2, the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $A_5 + A_2$. Therefore $G^x \cong (\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(-I, I), (\omega I, \omega^{-1} I)\rangle$ and $o(x) = 3$. □

In $(\mathrm{SU}(6)\times\mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(-I,I),(\omega I,\omega^{-1}I)\rangle$, let

$$F_1=\langle[(A_6,A_3)],[(B_6,B_3)],[(I,\omega I)]\rangle,$$

where $A_3=\mathrm{diag}\{1,e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}},e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}\}$, $A_6=\mathrm{diag}\{e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}},e^{\frac{3\pi i}{6}},e^{\frac{5\pi i}{6}},e^{\frac{7\pi i}{6}},e^{\frac{9\pi i}{6}},e^{\frac{11\pi i}{6}}\}$,

$$B_3=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\0&0&1\\1&0&0\end{pmatrix},\quad B_6=e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}}\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1\\1&0&0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let F be a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. If F is not a 2-group, then $F\sim F_1$.*

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 4.1, F contains an element x of order 3 and

$$F\subset G^x\cong(\mathrm{SU}(6)\times\mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(-I,I),(\omega I,\omega^{-1}I)\rangle.$$

For any $(\lambda_1 I,\lambda_2 I)\in\langle(-I,I),(\omega I,\omega^{-1}I)\rangle$, one has $\lambda_2=\lambda_1^2$, Using [Yu2], Proposition 2.1, one gets $F\sim F_1$. □

Proposition 4.2. *We have $W(F_1)\cong\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{F}_3)\times\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)$.*

Proof. Choosing an element x of F_1 with order 3, consideration in G^x shows that $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_1)}(x)\cong(\mathbb{F}_3^2\rtimes\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3))\times\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)$. Apparently $W(F_1)$ acts transitively on the set of elements of F_1 with order 3. Therefore $W(F_1)\cong\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{F}_3)\times\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)$. □

In $G^{\sigma_2}\cong((\mathrm{E}_6\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c',e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\rangle)\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle$ (cf. [HY], Table 2), let F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7 be finite abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{E}_6\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle$ with the image of projection to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ being the subgroups F_6, F_8, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}, F_{12} of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ respectively. Here c' is an generator of E_6, $(\mathfrak{e}_6\oplus i\mathbb{R})^\omega=\mathfrak{f}_4\oplus 0$.

Proposition 4.3. *If F is a finite abelian 2-subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and containing an element conjugate to σ_2, then F is conjugate to one of F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7.*

Proof. We may and do assume that $\sigma_2\in F$. Then,

$$F\subset G^{\sigma_2}\cong((\mathrm{E}_6\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c',e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\rangle)\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle.$$

One has $F\not\subset(G^{\sigma_2})_0$ as F satisfies the condition $(*)$ and $(G^{\sigma_2})_0$ has a one-dimensional center. Given $[(x,\lambda)]\in F\cap(G^{\sigma_2})_0$, one has $\omega[(x,\lambda)]\omega^{-1}=[(\cdot,\lambda^{-1})]$ being conjugate to $[(x,\lambda)]$ in $(G^{\sigma_2})_0$. Hence $\lambda=\pm1$. Thus F is conjugate to a subgroup of the form $F=F'\times\langle[(1,-1)]\rangle$ with F' a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{E}_6\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and being not contained in E_6. By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.2, F is conjugate to one of F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7. □

Among F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7, the maximal abelian ones are F_2, F_3, F_6, F_7.

Proposition 4.4. *There is an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}'(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) \times \mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow W(F_2) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2)^2 \rtimes P(2,1,\mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow 1,$$

and we have

$$W(F_3) \cong \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_2)^2, (\mathbb{F}_2)^2) \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2) \times P(2,3,\mathbb{F}_2)),$$

$$W(F_4) \cong ((\mathbb{F}_2)^5 \rtimes \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^2)) \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)),$$

$$W(F_5) \cong ((\mathbb{F}_2)^6 \rtimes \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_2)^5, \mathbb{F}_2)) \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$|W(F_6)| = 3 \times 2^{15},$$

$$W(F_7) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^7 \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(3,\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Proof. The abelian subgroups F_3, F_4, F_5, F_7 are elementary abelian 2-subgroups. The description of their Weyl groups is given in [Yu], Proposition 7.26. For F_2, let $K = \{x \in F_2 : x^2 = 1\}$ and $K' = \{x^2 : x \in F_2\}$. Then, $K' \subset K$, $\mathrm{rank}\, K = 4$, and $\mathrm{rank}\, K' = 3$. From the description of the subgoup F_6 in [Yu3], one sees that K is conjugate to the elementary abelian 2-subgroup $F'_{2,1}$ of G in the terminology of [Yu]. Hence $W(K) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^2 \rtimes P(2,1,\mathbb{F}_2)$ by [Yu], Proposition 7.26. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_2) \longrightarrow W(K)$. Using the fact that $W(F_2)$ acts transitively on the set of elements of F_2 being conjugate to σ_2, one gets that p is surjective. Similarly as the proof Proposition 3.1, one shows that $\ker p \cong \mathrm{Hom}'(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) \times \mathbb{F}_2$, where $\mathrm{Hom}'(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3)$ comes from conjugation action of elements in $\mathrm{E}_6 \subset G^{\sigma_2}$ and $\mathbb{F}_2$ comes from the conjugation action of $[(1,i)] \in G^{\sigma_2}$. For F_6, let $K = \{x \in F_6 : x^2 = 1\}$ and $K' = \{x^2 : x \in F_6\}$. Then, $K' \cong \mathbb{F}_2$. Denote by z a generator of K'. Then $W(F_6)$ fixes z and stabilizes K. Hence there is a homomorphism $p : W(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Stab}_{W(K)}(z)$. Similar argument as [Yu3], Proposition 3.3 shows that $\ker p \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^2$ and p is surjective. Since $K \sim F_4$, the orbit $W(K)z$ has three elements. One has $|W(K)| = |W(F_4)| = 32 \times 64 \times 6^2 = 3^2 \times 2^{13}$ and hence $|W(F_6)| = \frac{4}{3}|W(K)| = 3 \times 2^{15}$. □

In $G^{\sigma_3} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI \rangle) \rtimes \langle \omega \rangle$ ([HY], Table 2), let

$$F_8 = \langle \sigma_3, [A_4], [B_4], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J'_1, J'_1, J'_1, J'_1\}] \rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_9 \;=\; & \langle \sigma_3, [I_{4,4}], [J'_4], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J'_2, J'_2\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], \\ & [\mathrm{diag}\{J'_1, J'_1, J'_1, J'_1\}] \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{10} = \langle \sigma_3, [J_4]\omega, [I_{4,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}] \rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{11} \;=\; & \langle \sigma_3, [J_4]\omega, [I_{4,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], \\ & [\mathrm{diag}\{J'_1, J'_1, J_1, J_1\}] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here $A_4 = \mathrm{diag}\{I_2, iI_2, -I_2, -iI_2\}$ and $B_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & I_2 & 0_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 & I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 & 0_2 & I_2 \\ I_2 & 0_2 & 0_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 4.5. *If F is a finite abelian 2-subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$, containing an element conjugate to σ_3, and without elements conjugate to σ_2, then F is conjugate to one of F_8, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}.*

Proof. We may and do assume that $\sigma_3 \in F$. Then, $F \subset G^{\sigma_3} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle) \rtimes \langle\omega\rangle$, where $\sigma_3 = [\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}I]$ and $\omega[X]\omega^{-1} = [J_4\overline{X}J_4^{-1}]$ for $X \in \mathrm{SU}(8)$. Since it is assumed that F does not contain any element conjugate to σ_2, F has no elements conjugate to $[I_{2,6}]$ or ω in G^{σ_3} (cf. [HY], Page 414). We consider the cases of $F \subset (G^{\sigma_3})_0$ and $F \not\subset (G^{\sigma_3})_0$ separately. In the case of $F \subset (G^{\sigma_3})_0$, by [Yu], Proposition 2.1, one gets $F \sim F_8$ or $F \sim F_9$. In the case of $F \not\subset (G^{\sigma_3})_0$, by [Yu2], we have integers k, s_0 with $8 = 2^k \cdot s_0$. The condition of F has no elements conjugate to $[I_{2,6}]$ or ω in G^{σ_3} simplies the consideration. From this, first any element of B_F (cf. [Yu], Section 5) is conjugate to $[I_{4,4}]$ and hence the conjugacy class of B_F is determined by $\operatorname{rank} B_F$, which is an integer at most 3. If $k = 0$, then $F \sim F_{10}$. If $k = 1$, we have four maps $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4 : F \cap (G^{\sigma_3})_0/B_F \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ compatible with an antisymmetric bimultiplicative function m on $F \cap (G^{\sigma_3})_0$. In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 \neq \mu_3 = \mu_4$, one has $F \sim F_{11}$. In the other cases one can show that F contains an element conjugate to $[I_{2,6}]$ or ω, which is a contradiction. In the case of $k = 2$ or 3, F contains an element conjugate to ω, which is a contradiction. □

Among F_8, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}, only F_8 is a maximal abelian subgroup.

Proposition 4.6. *There is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \to W(F_8) \\ &\to (\mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2, \mathbb{F}_2^2)) \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)) \to 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $K = \{x \in F_8 : x^2 = 1\}$ and $K' = \{x^2 : x \in F_8\}$. Then, $K \sim F''_{2,1}$ and $K' = A_K$ in the terminology of [Yu]. Hence $W(K) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2, \mathbb{F}_2^2)) \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2))$ and K' is stable under $W(K)$. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_8) \longrightarrow W(K)$. Using the fact that the set of elements of K conjugate to σ_3 is transitive under the action of $W(F_8)$, one can show that p is surjective by considering in G^{σ_3}. Similarly one shows $\ker p = \mathrm{Hom}(F_8/K, K') \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2, \mathbb{F}_2^2)$ by considering in G^{σ_3}. □

Recall that Γ_1 is a Klein four subgroup of G with non-identity elements all conjugate to σ_1 and (cf. [HY], Table 6)

$$G^{\Gamma_1} \cong ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}I, e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}}, 1), (-I, 1, 1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle z\rangle,$$

where $\Gamma_1 = \langle [(I,-1,1)], [(I,1,-1)]\rangle$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(z)[(X,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)] = [(J_3\overline{X}J_3^{-1}, \lambda_1^{-1}, \lambda_2^{-1})]$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let F be a finite abelian 2-subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and without elements conjugate to σ_2 or σ_3. Then F contains no Klein four subgroups conjugate to Γ_1.*

Proof. Suppose the conclusion does not hold. We may and do assume that $\Gamma_1 \subset F$. Then,

$$F \subset G^{\Gamma_1} \cong ((\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}I, e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}}, 1), (-I, 1, 1)\rangle) \rtimes \langle z\rangle.$$

Thus $F \not\subset (G^{\Gamma_1})_0$ and it is conjugate to a subgroup of the form $F' \times \Gamma_1$, where F' is a subgroup of $(\mathrm{SU}(6)/\langle -I\rangle) \rtimes \langle z\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$. Applying [Yu2], Proposition 5.1, we get integers k, s_0 with $6 = 2^k \cdot s_0$. Then, $k = 0$ or 1. In the case of $k = 0$, one can show that F' contains an element conjugate to $[J_3]z$. In the case of $k = 1$, we have three maps $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 : F' \cap (G^{\Gamma_1})_0 \to \{\pm 1\}$ compatible with a bimultiplicative function m' on $F' \cap (G^{\Gamma_1})_0$. Considering determinat one can show that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$, or μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 are distinct. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$, one shows that F' contains an element conjugate to $[J_3]$. If μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 are distinct, one shows that F' contains an element conjugate to $[J_3]z$. Thus F contains an element conjugate to $[(J_3, 1, 1)]$ or $[(J_3, 1, 1)]z$. From [HY], Page 413, one can show that $[(J_3, 1, 1)]$ and $[(J_3, 1, 1)]z$ are conjugate to σ_3 in G, which contradicts the assumption that F contains no elements conjugate to σ_3. □

Lemma 4.3. *If F is a finite abelian 2-subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with an element conjugate to σ_1, then $y^4 = 1$ for any $y \in F$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $\sigma_1 \in F$. Then, $F \subset G^{\sigma_1} = (\mathrm{Spin}(12)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-c, -1), (c, 1)\rangle$. By [Yu2], Proposition 2.1, for any $y = [(x, \lambda)] \in F$ with $x \in \mathrm{Spin}(12)$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, one has $\lambda^2 = \pm 1$. By [Yu2] Proposition 3.2, by considering the image of the projection of G^{σ_1} to $\mathrm{SO}(12)/\langle -I\rangle$, one gets integers k, s_0 with $12 = 2^k \cdot s_0$. In the case of $k = 0$, one has $x^2 \in \{\pm 1\}$. In the case of $k = 2$, one has $x^2 \sim \pm e_1 e_2 \cdots e_k$, $k = 0$, 4, 8 or 12. In the case of $k = 1$, x is conjugate to an element of the form $x = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6$, where $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \in \mathrm{Pin}(2)^6 \cap \mathrm{Spin}(12)$, $x_i = \pm e_i$ or $\pm\frac{1\pm e_{2i-1}e_{2i}}{\sqrt{2}}$ for each $1 \le i \le 6$, or $x_i \in \{\pm 1, \pm e_{2i-1}e_{2i}\}$ for each $1 \le i \le 6$. In the first case, using the condition of $x \in \mathrm{Spin}(12)$, one gets $x^2 \sim \pm e_1 e_2 \cdots e_k$, $k = 0$, 4, 8 or 12. In the latter case, $x^2 = \pm 1$. Hence in any case $x^4 = 1$ and $\lambda^4 = 1$. Therefore $y^4 = 1$ for any $y \in F$. □

In $G^{\sigma_1} = (\mathrm{Spin}(12) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-c, -1), (c, 1)\rangle$, let

$$F_{12} = \langle[(\delta_1, \mathbf{i})], [(\delta_2, \mathbf{j})], [(e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6, 1)]\rangle,$$

where

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1 + e_1e_2}{\sqrt{2}} e_3e_5 \frac{1 + e_7e_8}{\sqrt{2}} e_9e_{11}$$

and

$$\delta_2 = e_1 \frac{1 + e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{e_5 + e_6}{\sqrt{2}} e_7 \frac{1 + e_9e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{e_{11} - e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Proposition 4.7. *If F is a finite abelian 2-subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ an without elements conjugate to σ_2 or σ_3, then $F \sim F_{12}$.*

Proof. We may and do assume that $\sigma_1 \in F$. Then,

$$F \subset G^{\sigma_1} = (\mathrm{Spin}(12) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-c, -1), (c, 1)\rangle.$$

Since F contains no elements conjugate to σ_2 or σ_3, it contains no Klein four subgroups conjugate to Γ_1 by Lemma 4.2. By [HY], Page 413, any involution in $F - \langle\sigma_1\rangle$ is conjugate to $[(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)]$ in G^{σ_1}. By Lemmas 4.3, $x^4 = 1$ for any $x \in F$. Let $A_1 = \{x \in F : x^2 = 1\}$, $A_2 = \{x^2 : x \in F\}$, $r_1 = \operatorname{rank} A_1$, $r_2 = \operatorname{rank} A_2$. Then,

there is an exact sequence $1 \to A_1 \to F \to A_2 \to 1$ with the map $F \longrightarrow A_2$ given by $x \mapsto x^2$, $x \in F$; A_1 is conjugate to a subgroup of $\langle \sigma_1, [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_5e_6e_7e_8, 1)]\rangle$; $r_2 \le r_1 \le 3$. From the covering $\pi : \mathrm{E}_7 \longrightarrow G$, we define a bimultiplicative function $m : F \times F \longrightarrow \langle c\rangle$ by $[x', y'] = m(x, y)$ for $x = \pi(x'), y = \pi(y') \in F$, $x', y' \in \mathrm{E}_7$. Since G^x is connected for any $x \in A_1$, one has $A_1 \subset \ker m$. We consider the case of $\ker m = A_1$ and the case of $\ker m \neq A_1$ separately. In the case of $\ker m = A_1$. Since $F/\ker m$ is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of even rank, $F \neq A_1$ due to A_1 does not satisfy the condition $(*)$, and $F/A_1 \cong A_2$ is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of rank at most 3, one has $A_2 \cong F/A_1 = F/\ker m$ being an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of rank 2. Hence $(r_1, r_2) = (2, 2)$ or $(3, 2)$. Considering the image F' of the projection of F to $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle -1, -c\rangle \cong \mathrm{SO}(12)/\langle -I\rangle$, then $|F'| \le |F|/2 \le 2^4$. By [Yu2], Proposition 3.1, we have integers k, s_0 associated to F' with $12 = 2^k \cdot s_0$ and $|F'| \ge 2^{2k}s_0 = 12 \cdot 2^k$. Hence $k = 0$. That means F is conjugate to a subgroup of the image of $\langle e_1e_2, e_1e_3, \ldots, e_1e_{12}\rangle \times \langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}\rangle$ in G^{σ_1}. By this one gets $A_2 \subset \langle \sigma_2\rangle$, which contradicts $\operatorname{rank} A_2 = 2$. In the case of $\ker m \neq A_1$, there is an element x in $\ker m$ of order 4. We may and do assume that $x^2 = \sigma_1$. Then x is conjugate to one of $[(e_1e_2, 1)]$, $[(e_1e_2e_4e_4e_5e_6, 1)]$, $[(e_1\Pi e_1, 1)]$, $[(-e_1\Pi e_1, 1)]$, $[(e_1e_2e_3e_4, \mathbf{i})]$, $[(1, \mathbf{i})]$, $[(\Pi, \mathbf{i})]$. Choosing $x' \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, then $F \subset \pi(\mathrm{E}_7^{x'})$ since $x \in \ker m$. By Steinberg's theorem $\mathrm{E}_7^{x'}$ is connected and hence $F \subset (G^{\sigma_1})_0^x$. Except $[(e_1e_2e_4e_4e_5e_6, 1)]$, any other choice of the conjuagacy class of x leads to a non-semisimple centralizer in G^{σ_1}. Therefore $x \sim [(e_1e_2e_4e_4e_5e_6, 1)]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F \;\subset\; & (G^{\sigma_1})_0^x = (\mathrm{Spin}(6) \times \mathrm{Spin}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (c_6, -c_6, -1), (-1, 1, -1)\rangle \\ & \cong (\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (iI, -iI, -1), (I, -I, -1)\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

One can show that

$$F \sim \langle [(A_4, A_4, \mathbf{i})], [(B_4, B_4^{-1}, \mathbf{j})], [(iI, I, 1)]\rangle,$$

where $A_4 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\operatorname{diag}\{1, i, -1, -i\}$ and $B_4 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This means $F \sim F_{12}$. □

Proposition 4.8. *One has $C_G(F_{12})/F_{12} \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^3$. There is an exact sequence*

$$1 \to \mathrm{Hom}'(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) \to W(F_{12}) \to \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \to 1,$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}'(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) : \operatorname{tr} f = 0\}$.

Proof. Let $K = \{x \in F_{12} : x^2 = 1\}$. Then, there is a homomorphism $p : W(F_{12}) \longrightarrow W(K)$. From the construction of F_{12} in G^{σ_1}, one sees that the bimultiplicative function m on F_{12} is trivial. Hence by the proof of Proposition 4.7, $W(F_{12})$ acts transitively on the set of elements of order 4 in F_{12}. On the other hand, one can calculate $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_{12})}(x)$ for an element x of F_{12} with order 4 by consideration in G^x. For this, we could assume that $x = [(e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6, 1)]$. Denote by $x_1' = (\delta_1, \mathbf{i})$ and

$x_2' = (\delta_2, \mathbf{j})$. Let

$$\Pi = \frac{1+e_1e_7}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_2e_8}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_9}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_4e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_5e_{11}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1-e_6e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then, $[(\Pi, 1)] \in C_G(K)$ and $C_G(x)$ is generated by $(C_G(x))_0$ and $[(\Pi, 1)] \in C_G(K)$. By this $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_{12})}(x)$ can be calculated in $(C_G(x))_0$. By calculation in $(C_G(x))_0$ we get $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_{12})}(x) \cong \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. By this the homomorphism p is surjective and moreover $\ker p = \mathrm{Hom}'(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3)$.

For any $x = \pi(x') \in F_{12}$ and $g = [g'] \in C_G(F_{12})$, $x, g' \in \mathrm{E}_7$, one has $g'x'g'^{-1}x'^{-1} = 1$ or c. Since G^x is connected while $x \in K$ and the bimultiplicative function m on F_{12} is trivial, this defines a homomorphism $\phi : C_G(F_{12})/F_{12} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F_{12}/K, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Here we identify $\langle c \rangle$ with $\mathbb{F}_2$. We show that ϕ is surjective and injective, therefore one has $C_G(F_{12})/F_{12} \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^3$. Suppose that $\phi(g) = 0$ for some $g \in N_G(F_{12})$. As in the above, we denote by $x = [(e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6, 1)]$, $x_1' = (\delta_1, \mathbf{i})$ and $x_2' = (\delta_2, \mathbf{j})$. Since $\phi(g) = 0$, one has $g \in (G^x)_0 \cong (\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (iI, -iI, -1), (I, -I, -1) \rangle$. Denote by $g = [g']$ for some $g' \in \mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. Then, $gx_i'g^{-1}(x_i')^{-1} \in \langle (iI, -iI, -1) \rangle$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore $g \in F_{12}$ and hence ϕ is injective. Let $g' = (e_1e_2, \mathbf{i}) \in G^{\sigma_1}$. Then $[g', x'] = [g', x_1'] = 1$ and $[g', x_2'] = c$. Hence $\phi \neq 0$. As ϕ is $W(F_{12})$ invariant, one sees that ϕ is surjective. □

Remark 4.1. From the construction of F_{12} in G^{σ_1}, one sees that $[(e_1e_2, \mathbf{i})] \in C_G(F_{12})$. From [HY], Page 413, one has $[(e_1e_2, \mathbf{i})] \sim \sigma_2$ in G. By this, one sees that F_{12} is conjugate to a subgroup of F_2, which in turn corresponds to the subgroup F_6 of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ in Section 3. As a subgroup of F_2, F_{12} is not stable under the action of $W(F_2)$. In the terminology of [Yu], one has $\{x \in F_{12} : x^2 = 1\} = F_3'$ and $\{x \in F_2 : x^2 = 1\} = F_{2,1}'$. As a subgroup of $F_{2,1}'$, F_3' is also not stable under the action of $W(F_{2,1}')$.

By construction, the subgroup F_2 is related to the subgroup F_6 of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$. On the other hand, the iamge of projection of F_{12} to $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle -1, c \rangle$ is conjugate to the image of projection of the subgroup F_1 of $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c \rangle$ to it.

In G, let L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5, L_6 be Levi subgroups of G with root systems $3A_1$, D_4, $D_4 + A_1$, $D_5 + A_1$, D_6, E_6 respectively. Then,

$$(L_1)_s \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1)^3/\langle (-1, -1, -1) \rangle,$$
$$(L_2)_s \cong \mathrm{Spin}(8),$$
$$(L_3)_s \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(8) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (-1, -1) \rangle,$$
$$(L_4)_s \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(10) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (-1, -1) \rangle,$$
$$(L_5)_s \cong \mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c \rangle,$$
$$(L_6)_s \cong \mathrm{E}_6\,.$$

In each case, one has $Z((L_i)_s) \subset Z(L_i)_0$. In L_1, let

$$F_{13} = \langle Z(L_1)_0, [(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})], [(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j})] \rangle.$$

In L_2, let

$$F_{14} = \langle Z(L_2)_0, [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_1e_2e_5e_6], [e_1e_3e_5e_7] \rangle.$$

In L_3, let

$$F_{15} = \langle Z(L_3)_0, [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1)], [(e_1e_3e_5e_7, \mathbf{i})], [(e_1e_2, \mathbf{j})]\rangle.$$

In L_4, let

$$F_{16} = \langle Z(L_4)_0, [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1)], [(e_1e_3e_5e_7, 1)], [(e_8e_9, \mathbf{i})], [(e_8e_{10}, \mathbf{j})]\rangle.$$

In L_5, let F_{17} be

$$\langle Z(L_5)_0, [e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6], [e_1e_3\frac{1+e_5e_6}{\sqrt{2}}e_7e_9\frac{1+e_{11}e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}],$$
$$[\frac{e_1+e_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}}e_5\frac{e_7+e_8}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_9e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}e_{11}]\rangle,$$

$$F_{18} = \langle [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_5e_6e_7e_8], [e_3e_4e_5e_6], [e_7e_8e_9e_{10}], [e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}], [-1]\rangle,$$

and

$$F_{19} = \langle [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_5e_6e_7e_8], [e_3e_4e_5e_6], [e_7e_8e_9e_{10}], [e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}], [\delta], [-1]\rangle,$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{1+e_1e_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_5e_6}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_7e_8}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_9e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_{11}e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

In L_6, let

$$F_{20} = Z(L_6)_0 \times F',$$

where F' is an elementary abelian 3-subgroup of E_6 projecting isomorphically to the subgroup F_2 of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ in Section 2. Among the subgroups F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{15}, F_{16}, F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{20}, the maximal abelian ones are F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{15}, F_{16}, F_{17}, F_{19}, F_{20}.

Lemma 4.4. *Let F be a non-finite closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. Then F is either a maximal torus, or is conjugate to one of F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{15}, F_{16}, F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{20}.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Lie}\, F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, $L = C_G(\mathfrak{a})$ and $L_s = [L, L]$. By Lemma 2.1, $F = Z(L)_0 \cdot F'$ and $F' := F \cap L_s$ is a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. We may assume that F is not a maximal torus and hence the root system of L is not $\emptyset$. Then, L_s does not possess a direct factor of type **A**. We may assume that a subset Y of a simple system of the root system of G is a simple system of L. By Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $c = \exp(\pi i(H'_2 + H'_5 + H'_7))$, one has L_s being not simply connected if and only if $\alpha_2, \alpha_5, \alpha_7 \in Y$. In the case of L_s is not simply connected, due to L_s has a finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$ the root system of L is one of the types $3A_1$, $A_3 + A_1$, $D_4 + A_1$, $D_5 + A_1$, D_6. Moreover, in the $A_3 + A_1$ case, $L_s \cong (\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-I, -1)\rangle$, which neither have finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. In the case of L_s is simply connected, due to L_s has a finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$ the root system of L is one of the types D_4, D_5, E_6. In the D_5 case, L_s neither have finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$ by [Yu3], Proposition 2.2. Thus L is conjugate to one of L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5, L_6 listed ahead of this Lemma. In the case of L_1 is of type $3A_1$, one shows $F \sim F_{13}$ easily. The D_4 and D_6 are considered in Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 of [Yu3]. The E_6 is considered in Section 2. The $D_4 + A_1$ and $D_5 + A_1$ can be answered by some argument as the arguments in [Yu3], Section 2. □

Proposition 4.9. *There are exact sequences*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow W(F_{13}) \longrightarrow W(\mathrm{F}_4) \longrightarrow 1,$$
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow W(F_{14}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}^3 \rtimes S_3 \longrightarrow 1,$$
$$1 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2^4 \times \mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes (\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)) \longrightarrow W(F_{15}) \longrightarrow D_4 \longrightarrow 1,$$
$$1 \longrightarrow S_4 \times (\{\pm 1\}^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)) \longrightarrow W(F_{16}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1,$$
$$1 \longrightarrow Q_1 \longrightarrow W(F_{17}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1,$$
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^5 \rtimes (\mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_6) \longrightarrow W(F_{18}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1,$$
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^5 \rtimes ((\mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_6) \times S_2) \longrightarrow W(F_{19}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1,$$
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^3 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_3) \longrightarrow W(F_{20}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1,$$

where Q_1 is the Weyl group of the subgroup F_1 of $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c\rangle$ *defined in* [Yu3]*, Proposition 2.5, which is of order 3×2^{12}; in the exact sequences for $W(F_{18})$ and $W(F_{19})$, $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ means $\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^6 : \sum_{1\le i\le 6} x_i = 0\}/\mathbb{F}_2 \cdot (1,1,1,1,1,1)$, $\mathbb{F}_2^5$ means $\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^6 : \sum_{1\le i\le 6} x_i = 0\}$.*

Proof. Since $Z(L_1)_0$ is conjugate to a maximal torus of the derived subgroup $(L_2)_s$ of the Levi subgroup L_2. We have $(L_2)_s \cong \mathrm{Spin}(8)$ and $W(Z(L_1)_0) \cong W(\mathrm{F}_4)$. There are two ways to show this: one is to use the automorphism η of $\mathfrak{e}_6$ as defined in Section 2, another is to embed $(L_2)_s$ into a subgroup isomorphic to F_4. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_{13}) \longrightarrow W(Z(L_1)_0)$, which is apparently a surjective map. Since $L_1 = C_G(Z(L_1)_0)$, consideration in $(L_1)_s$ shows $\ker p \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, where the first $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ means $F_{13}/Z(L_1)_0$, the second $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ means $F_{13} \cap Z(L_1)_s$, and $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ acts on the first $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ linearly and faithfully and acts on the second one trivially. The determination of the other Weyl groups is along the same line by first identifying $W(Z(L_i)_0)$ and then calculating $\ker p$. The surjection of p is clear. For F_{14}, F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}, we use results in [Yu3], Section 2. For F_{20}, we use Proposition 2.5. For F_{16}, we note that both of

$$\langle [(-1,1)], [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1)], [(e_1e_3e_5e_7, 1)]\rangle$$

and

$$\langle [(-1,1)], [(e_8e_9, \mathbf{i})], [(e_8e_{10}, \mathbf{j})]\rangle$$

are stable under the action of $\ker p$. For F_{15}, we note that

$$K = \langle [(-1,1)], [(c,1)], [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1)]\rangle$$

stable under the action of $\ker p$. The induced map $p' : \ker p \longrightarrow W(K)$ is surjective and one has

$$W(K) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2),$$

where $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ acts on the first $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ linearly and faithfully and acts on the second one trivially. Moreover by choosing generators of $W(K)$ appropriately one sees that $W(K) \subset W(F_{15})$. Since

$$C_{(L_3)_s}(K) = \langle [(e_1e_3e_5e_7, 1)], \mathrm{Spin}(2)^4 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)\rangle,$$

one can show that $\ker p' = (\mathbb{F}_2)^4 \times \mathbb{F}_2$, which the $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ factor means the action on $[(e_1e_3e_5e_7, \mathbf{i})]$ and the factor $\mathbb{F}_2$ means the action on $[(e_1e_2, \mathbf{j})]$. □

5. Simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{E_8}$

Let $G = \mathrm{E}_8$. It contains a subgroup H_1 isomorphic to

$$(\mathrm{SU}(5) \times \mathrm{SU}(5))/\langle(\omega_5 I, \omega_5^2 I)\rangle.$$

In H_1 let $F_1 = \langle[(\omega_5 I, I)], [A_5, B_5], [A_5, B_5^2]\rangle$, where

$$A_5 = \mathrm{diag}\{1, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}}, e^{\frac{6\pi i}{5}}, e^{\frac{8\pi i}{5}}\}, \quad B_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let F be a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. If $|F|$ has a prime factor $p \geq 5$, then $F \sim F_1$.*

Proof. Choosing an element $x \in F$ with $o(x) = p \geq 5$, then $F \subset G^x$ and G^x is connected by Steinberg's theorem. Hence G^x is semisimple as F is a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. Therefore the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $2A_4$ (cf. [Os]) and $G^x \cong (\mathrm{SU}(5) \times \mathrm{SU}(5))/\langle(\omega_5 I, \omega_5^2 I)\rangle$. Hence $F \sim F_1$. □

Proposition 5.1. *We have $W(F_1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_5)$.*

Proof. From the the construction of F_1 in $(\mathrm{SU}(5) \times \mathrm{SU}(5))/\langle(\omega_5 I, \omega_5^2 I)\rangle$, one has $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_1)}(x) \cong \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_5)^2, \mathbb{F}_5) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_5)$. On the other hand $W(F_1)$ acts transitively on $F_1 - \{1\}$. Therefore $W(F_1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_5)$. □

The group G has a subgroup H_2 isomorphic to $(\mathrm{E}_6 \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(c, \omega I)\rangle$, where c is an element of order 3 generating $Z(\mathrm{E}_6)$. From Section 2, one sees that the group $\mathrm{E}_6/\langle c\rangle$ has two conjugacy classes of finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with a nontrivial bimultiplicative function m, with representatives F_1 and F_3 in the terminology there. From them we have finite abelian subgroups F_2, F_3 of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. One has $F_2 \cong (C_2)^3 \times (C_3)^3$ and $F_3 \cong (C_3)^5$.

Proposition 5.2. *If F is a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $|F|$ being a multiple of 3, then $F \sim F_2$ or F_3.*

Proof. Choosing an element $x \in F$ of order 3, then $F \subset G^x$ and G^x is connected by Steinberg's theorem. Hence G^x is semisimple as F is a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. Therefore the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type A_8 or $E_6 + A_2$ (cf. [Os]). In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type $E_6 + A_2$, one has $F \sim F_2$ or F_3 by Propositions 2.2 and 2.4. In the case of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type A_8, one has $G^x \cong \mathrm{SU}(9)/\langle\omega I\rangle$. In this case the conjugacy class of F is unique and it contains an element conjugate to $[\mathrm{diag}\{A_3, A_3, A_3\}]$. However the element $[\mathrm{diag}\{A_3, A_3, A_3\}]$ is conjugate to $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(H_1' + H_5' + H_8'))$ in G and which in turn is conjugate to the $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(H_1' - H_3'))$ giving a centralizer group of type $E_6 + A_2$. Therefore this conjugacy class is the one containing F_3. □

Proposition 5.3. *We have*

$$W(F_2) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_3) \times \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$W(F_3) \cong \mathbb{F}_3^4 \rtimes \mathrm{GSp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3),$$

where $\mathrm{GSp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ *means the group of* 4×4 *general symplectic matrices over the field* $\mathbb{F}_3$.

Proof. For F_2, by the construction of F_2 in $(\mathrm{E}_6 \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle (c, \omega I)\rangle$ and Proposition 2.3, one has $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_2)}(x) \cong (\mathbb{F}_3^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)) \times \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$, where we note that it is $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ instead of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ since it is E_6, not the disconnected group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ being considered here. Since $W(F_2)$ acts transitively on the set of elements of F_2 of order 3, one has $W(F_2) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_3) \times \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Denote by $\theta_1 = \exp(\frac{2\pi i(H_1' + H_2' - H_3')}{3})$, $\theta_2 = \exp(\frac{2\pi i(H_1' - H_3')}{3})$. Then, the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\theta_1}$ is of type A_8 and the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\theta_2}$ is of type $E_6 + A_2$. In $G^{\theta_1} \cong \mathrm{SU}(9)/\langle \omega I\rangle$, F_3 is conjugate to

$$F_3' = \langle [\omega I], [A_3'], [B_3'], [\mathrm{diag}\{A_3, A_3, A_3\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{B_3, B_3, B_3\}]\rangle,$$

where

$$A_3 = \mathrm{diag}\{1, \omega, \omega^2\}, A_3' = \mathrm{diag}\{I_3, \omega I_3, \omega^2 I_3\},$$

$$B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_3' = \begin{pmatrix} 0_3 & I_3 & 0_3 \\ 0_3 & 0_3 & I_3 \\ I_3 & 0_3 & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by

$$K = \langle [A_3'], [B_3'], [\mathrm{diag}\{A_3, A_3, A_3\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{B_3, B_3, B_3\}]\rangle.$$

Then, for any element $x \in K$, one has $x \sim [A_3']$, $\theta_1 x \sim \theta_1[A_3']$, $(\theta_1)^2 x \sim (\theta_1)^2[A_3']$. In G, one can show that $[A_3'] \sim \theta_2$ and $\theta_1[A_3'] \sim (\theta_1)^2[A_3'] \sim \theta_1$. That means K is stable under the action of $W(F_3')$. Note that there is a nondegenerate symplectic form on K taking values in the field $\mathbb{F}_3$ from the inclusion $K \subset G^{\sigma_1} \cong \mathrm{SU}(9)/\langle \omega I\rangle$. Considertation in G^{θ_1} shows that $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_3)} = \mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$. By this $|W(F_3)| = 2 \times 3^4 \times |\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)|$. Also, we note that G contains an element g normalizing $\mathrm{SU}(9)/\langle \omega\rangle$ and acting on it as $g[X]g^{-1} = [\overline{X}]$, $X \in \mathrm{SU}(9)$. Therefore $g \in N_G(F_3')$ which acts on K as a transformation in $\mathrm{GSp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ and outside $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$, maps θ_1 to θ_1^{-1}. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_{13}') \longrightarrow W(K)$. We show that $W(K) \cong \mathrm{GSp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$. Since by the above $\mathrm{GSp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3) \subset \mathrm{Im}\, p$ and $|W(F_3)| = 3^4 \times |\mathrm{GSp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)|$, this in turn implies $W(F_3) \cong \mathbb{F}_3^4 \rtimes \mathrm{GSp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$. To prove $W(K) \cong \mathrm{GSp}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$, note that each elementary abelian 3-subgroup of rank 2 with degenerate (or nondegenerate) symplectic form is conjugate to $S_1 = \langle [A_3'], [\mathrm{diag}\{A_3, A_3, A_3\}]\rangle$ (or $S_2 = \langle [A_3'], [B_3']\rangle$). In Section 2, we define the subgroup F_3 of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ as

$$F_3 = \langle \pi(\theta_1'), \eta, [(A_3, A_3, A_3)], [(B_3, B_3, B_3)]\rangle,$$

where θ_1' means the element θ_1 there. Thus in $G^{\theta_2} \cong (\mathrm{E}_6 \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle (c, \omega I)\rangle$,

$$F_3 = \langle [(c, 1)], [(\theta_1', A_3)], [(\eta, B_3)], [((A_3, A_3, A_3), I)], [((B_3, B_3, B_3), I)]\rangle.$$

By calculation one shows that the elements $[((A_3,A_3,A_3),I)]$, $[(\eta,B_3)]$ are conjugate to θ_2 in G. Denote by

$$K' = \langle [(c,1)], [(\eta,B_3)], [((A_3,A_3,A_3),I)], [((B_3,B_3,B_3),I)]\rangle,$$

$S_1' = \langle [(c,1)], [((A_3,A_3,A_3),I)]\rangle$, and $S_2' = \langle [(c,1)], [(\eta,B_3)]\rangle$. Then, K' is conjugate to K, and any rank 2 elementary abelian 3-subgroup of F_3 is conjugate to S_1' or S_2'. Thus $\{S_1,S_2\}$, $\{S_1',S_2'\}$ are pairwise conjugate. As $\mathfrak{g}^{S_2'} = \mathfrak{so}(8)+\mathbb{C}^4 \ncong \mathfrak{g}^{S_1'} = \mathfrak{su}(3)^4$, S_1 and S_2 are not conjugate in G. Then, the action of $W(K)$ on K preserves the symplectic form up to scaler. By it one has $W(K) \subset \mathrm{GSp}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. It is showed above that $W(K) \supset \mathrm{GSp}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. Thus $W(K)| = |\mathrm{GSp}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. □

Lemma 5.2. *If F is a finite abelian 2-subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$, then $x^4 = 1$ for any $x \in F$.*

Proof. Suppose the conclusion does not hold. Then F contains an element x of order 8. One has $F \subset G^x$ and G^x is connected by Steinberg's theorem. Hence G^x is semisimple. Since $x \in Z(G^x)$ is of order 8. The root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type A_7+A_1. Even in this case $G^x \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-I),(iI,-1)\rangle$ and hence $o(x) \neq 8$. □

In [HY], we defined a Klein four subgroup Γ_1 of G and one has

$$G^{\Gamma_1} \cong (\mathrm{E}_6 \times \mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c,\omega,1)\rangle \rtimes \langle z\rangle,$$

where $(\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus i\mathbb{R}\oplus i\mathbb{R})^z = \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus 0 \oplus 0$. Given a finite abelian subgroup F' of $\mathrm{E}_6 \rtimes\langle z\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$, $F = F'\times\Gamma_1$ is a finite abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. Let F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7, F_8, F_9 be finite abelian subgroups of G obtained in this way from finite abelian subgroups F_6, F_8, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}, F_{12} of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ as defined in Section 3.

Proposition 5.4. *If F is a finite abelian 2-subgroups of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and containing a Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, then it is conjugate to one of F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7, F_8, F_9.*

Proof. We may and do assume that $\Gamma_1 \subset F$. Then,

$$F \subset G^{\Gamma_1} \cong (\mathrm{E}_6\times\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c,\omega,1)\rangle \rtimes\langle z\rangle.$$

Hence $F \not\subset (G^{\Gamma_1})_0$. By this, for any $[(x,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)] \in F\cap(G^{\Gamma_1})_0$, one has $[(x,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)]$ being conjugate to $[(\phi(x),\lambda_1^{-1},\lambda_2^{-1})]$, where ϕ is an outer automorphism of E_6. Therefore $\lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$. Thus F is conjugate to a subgroup of the form $F = F'\times\Gamma_1$, where F' is a subgroup of$\mathrm{E}_6\rtimes\langle z\rangle$ with $F' \not\subset \mathrm{E}_6$ and satisfying the condition $(*)$. By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.2, F is conjugate to one of F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7, F_8, F_9. □

Among F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7, F_8, F_9, the maximal abelian ones are F_4, F_5, F_8, F_9.

Proposition 5.5. *There is an exact sequence*

$$1 \to \mathrm{Hom}'((\mathbb{F}_2)^3,(\mathbb{F}_2)^3) \to W(F_4) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^3,\mathbb{F}_2^2)\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)) \to 1,$$

and we have

$$W(F_5) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^6,\mathbb{F}_2^2)\rtimes\big(\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)\times((\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2))\rtimes S_2)\big),$$

$$W(F_6) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^6 \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(2,2;0,0),$$

$$W(F_7) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^7 \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(1,3;0,0),$$
$$|W(F_8)| = 3^2 \times 2^{20},$$
$$W(F_9) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^8 \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(0,4;0,0),$$

where the group $\mathrm{Sp}(r,s;\epsilon,\delta)$ *is defined in* [Yu]*, Page 259.*

Proof. The abelian subgroups F_5, F_6, F_7, F_9 are elementary abelian 2-subgroups. The description of their Weyl groups is given in [Yu], Proposition 8.17. For F_4, let $K = \{x \in F_2 : x^2 = 1\}$ and $K' = \{x^2 : x \in F_2\}$. Then, $K' \subset K$, $\mathrm{rank}\, K = 5$, and $\mathrm{rank}\, K' = 3$. From the description of the subgoup F_6 in [Yu3], one sees that K is conjugate to the elementary abelian 2-subgroup $F'_{2,1}$ of G in the terminology of [Yu]. Hence $W(K) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(2,1;1,0) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2))$ by [Yu] Proposition 7.26, where the first $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F})$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_2^3$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F})$ and the second $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F})$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_2^2$. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_4) \longrightarrow W(K)$. Using the fact that $W(F_2)$ acts transitively on the set of pairs (x_1, x_2) of elements of F_4 generating a Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, one gets that p is surjective. Similarly as the proof of [Yu3], Proposition 3.1, one shows that $\ker p \cong \mathrm{Hom}'((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^3$. For F_8, let $K = \{x \in F_8 : x^2 = 1\}$ and $K' = \{x^2 : x \in F_8\}$. Then, $K' \cong \mathbb{F}_2$. Denote by z a generator of K'. Then $W(F_8)$ fixes z and stabilizes K. Hence there is a homomorphism $p : W(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Stab}_{W(K)}(z)$. Similar argument as [Yu3], Proposition 3.3 shows that $\ker p \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^2$ and p is surjective. Since $K' \sim F_6$, the orbit $W(K)z$ has three elements. One has $|W(K)| = |W(F_6)| = 64 \times 256 \times 6^3 \times 2 = 3^3 \times 2^{18}$ and hence $|W(F_6)| = \frac{4}{3}|W(K)| = 3^2 \times 2^{20}$. □

Example 5.1. *Denote by* $F = F_5$*, which is the elementary abelian 2-subgroup* $F_{3,3}$ *in the terminology of* [Yu]*. Recall that* F *possesses a decomposition* $F = A \times B \times B'$*, where* A *is a pure* σ_2 *elementary abelain 2-subgroup of rank 2,* B_1*,* B_2 *are pure* σ_1 *elementary abelain 2-subgroups of rank 3. Moreover, if we define a function* $\mu : F \to \{\pm 1\}$ *by* $\mu(x) = -1$ *if* $x \sim \sigma_1$ *and* $\mu(x) = 1$ *otherwise, then* $\mu(xx_1x_2) = \mu(x)\mu(x_1)\mu(x_2)$ *for any* $x \in A$*,* $x_1 \in B_1$*,* $x_2 \in B_2$*. We may assume that* $\sigma_1 \in F$*. Then,* $F \subset G^{\sigma_1} \cong \mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$*. In* G^{σ_1}*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} F \;=\; & \langle [-1], [e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6e_7e_8], [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_1e_2e_5e_6], [e_1e_3e_5e_7], \\ & [e_9e_{10}e_{11}e_{12}], [e_9e_{10}e_{13}e_{14}], [e_9e_{11}e_{13}e_{15}]\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \langle [-1], [e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6e_7e_8]\rangle,$$
$$B_1 = \langle [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_1e_2e_5e_6], [e_1e_3e_5e_7]\rangle,$$
$$B_2 = \langle [e_9e_{10}e_{11}e_{12}], [e_9e_{10}e_{13}e_{14}], [e_9e_{11}e_{13}e_{15}]\rangle.$$

For the fine group grading of $\mathfrak{e}_8(\mathbb{C})$ *associated to* F*, given a root* α*, if* $\alpha|_A = 1$*, then* $\alpha|_{B_1} = 1$ *or* $\alpha|_{B_2} = 1$ *by the above description of* F *in* G^{σ_1}*; if* $\alpha|_A \neq 1$*, by the property of* μ*, there are subgroups* B'_1 *of* B_2*, and* B'_2 *of* B_2 *such that* $F = A \times B'_1 \times B'_2$ *has similar property as the decomposition* $F = A \times B_1 \times B_2$ *regarding the function* μ*, and* $\alpha|_{B'_1} = \alpha|_{B'_2} = 1$*. In either case, we find that the induced action of* $s_{\alpha,\xi}$ *on* F/A *stabilizes both* B_1A/A *and* B_2A/A*, which implies that*

$$W_{small}(F) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^6, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \rtimes \big(\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2)\big),$$

where $W_{small}(F)$ is the small Weyl group associated to the fine group grading corresponding to F and $s_{\alpha,\xi}$ is transvection defined in [HV]. *Therefore $W_{small}(F) \neq W(F)$ in this example.*

For the involution σ_1 of G, one has (cf. [HY], Table 2)

$$G^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{E}_7 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (c,-1)\rangle.$$

There are elements $\eta_4, \omega' \in \mathrm{E}_7$ (cf. [Yu], Sections 7 and 8) with $\eta_4^2 = c$, $\omega' = c$, $\eta_4\omega'\eta_4^{-1}\omega'^{-1} = c$,

$$\mathfrak{e}_7^{\eta_4} \cong \mathfrak{e}_7^{\omega'} \cong \mathfrak{e}_7^{\eta_4\omega'} \cong \mathfrak{su}(8)$$

and

$$\mathrm{E}_7^{\langle \eta_4, \omega'\rangle} \cong \mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle.$$

In G^{σ_1}, let

$$F_{10} = \langle \sigma_1, [(\eta_4, \mathbf{i})], [(\omega', \mathbf{j})], [I_{4,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}]\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{11} \;=\; & \langle \sigma_1, [(\eta_4, \mathbf{i})], [(\omega', \mathbf{j})], [I_{4,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], \\ & [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1, J_1\}]\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Neither F_{10} nor F_{11} is a maximal abelian subgroup.

Proposition 5.6. *If F is a finite abelian 2-subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$, containing an element conjugate to σ_1 and no Klein four subgroups conjugate to Γ_1, then F is conjugate to F_{10} or F_{11}.*

Proof. We may and do assume that $\sigma_1 \in F$. Then

$$F \subset G^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{E}_7 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (c,-1)\rangle.$$

Apparently the image of the projection of F to $\mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle -1\rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle \mathbf{i}), \mathbf{j})\rangle$. Hence the image F' of the projection of F to $\mathrm{E}_7/\langle c\rangle$ has a nontrivial bimultiplicative function, i.e., it lifts to a non-abelian subgroup of E_7. The group F' is an abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{E}_7/\langle c\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and containing no elements conjugate to σ_2 in the terminology of Section 2. By Propositions 4.5 and 4.7, F' is conjugate to one of F_8, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}, F_{12} in the terminology of Section 2. As we assume that F contains no Klein four subgroups conjugate to Γ_1, the subgroup $\{x \in F : x^2 = 1\}$ is conjugate to one of $F''_{r,s} : r \leq 3, s \leq 2$ by [Yu], Proposition 8.11. The bimultipliclative function on F_{12} is trivial, and the maximal elementary abelian 2-subgroups of the abelian 2-subgroups of G constructed from F_8 or F_9 is not conjugate to any of $F''_{r,s} : r \leq 3, s \leq 2$, as they contain Klein four subgroups conjugate to Γ_1. Therefore F' is conjugate to F_{10}, F_{11} in Section 2 and hence F is conjugate to F_{10} or F_{11}. □

There is an involution σ_2 of G with $G^{\sigma_2} \cong \mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$ (cf. [HY], Table 2). In G^{σ_2}, let

$$\begin{aligned} F_{12} \;=\; & \langle -1, c, e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6e_7e_8, e_1e_2e_3e_4e_9e_{10}e_{11}e_{12}, e_1e_2e_5e_6e_9e_{10}e_{13}e_{14}, \\ & e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}e_{13}e_{15}\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{13} = \langle -1, c, e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6e_7e_8, e_1e_2e_3e_4e_9e_{10}e_{11}e_{12}, e_1e_2e_5e_6e_9e_{10}e_{13}e_{14}, e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}e_{13}e_{15}, e_1e_2e_5e_7e_9e_{12}\rangle.$$

None of them is a maximal abelian subgroup of G.

Proposition 5.7. *If F is a finite abelian 2-subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and containing no elements conjugate to σ_1, then F is conjugate to F_{12} or F_{13}.*

Proof. In the case of F is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup, one has $F \sim F_{12}$ by [Yu], Proposition 8.11. In the case of F is not an elementary abelian 2-subgroup, we may assume that $\sigma_2 \in F$ and there is an element $x \in F$ with $x^2 = \sigma_2$. Then, $F \subset G^{\sigma_2} \cong \mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$ and $x \sim [e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6]$ in $\mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$. Therfore $F \subset G^x \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(6)\times\mathrm{Spin}(10))/\langle (c_6, c_{10})\rangle$. If there are no elements $x = [x'], y = [y'] \in F$, $x', y' \in \mathrm{Spin}(16)$ with $x'y'x'^{-1}y'^{-1} = c$, then F lifts to an abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(16)$. In this case one can show that $F \sim F_{13}$. If there are elements $x = [x'], y = [y'] \in F$, $x', y' \in \mathrm{Spin}(16)$ with $x'y'x'^{-1}y'^{-1} = c$, let F_1', F_2' be the images of the projections of F to $\mathrm{SO}(6)/\langle -I\rangle$ and $\mathrm{SO}(10)/\langle -I\rangle$ respectively. By [Yu2], Proposition 3.1, it is associated integers $k_1, k_2, s_{0,1}, s_{0,2} \geq 0$ with $6 = 2^{k_1}\cdot s_{0,1}$ and $10 = 2^{k_2}\cdot s_{0,2}$. Then, $k_1, k_2 = 0$ or 1. Since $x'y'x'^{-1}y'^{-1} = c$, one has $k_1 = k_2 = 1$. In this case F' contains an element conjugate to $[(\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, J_1', J_1\}, \mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, J_1', J_1\})]$ and hence F contains an element conjugate to

$$y = [e_1\frac{e_3+e_4}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_5e_6}{\sqrt{2}}e_7e_9e_{11}\frac{e_{13}+e_{14}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_{15}e_{16}}{\sqrt{2}}].$$

But $y^2 = [-e_5e_6e_{15}e_{16}]$. It leads to a contradiction since $[-e_5e_6e_{15}e_{16}]$ is conjugate to σ_1 in G. □

Let $F \subset G$ be an abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Lie}\, F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $L = C_G(\mathfrak{a})$ and $L_s = [L, L]$. By Lemma 2.1, $F = Z(L)_0 \cdot (F \cap L_s)$ and $F' := F \cap L_s$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L_s satisfying the condition $(*)$. In G, let L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5 be Levi subgroups of G with root systems D_4, D_6, D_7, E_6, E_7 respectively. For each of them, one has $Z((L_i)_s) \subset Z(L_i)_0$.

In L_1, let

$$F_{14} = \langle Z(L_2)_0, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7\rangle.$$

In L_2, let

$$F_{15} = \langle Z(L_2)_0, -1, c, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_2e_7e_8, e_1e_2e_9e_{10}, e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}\rangle.$$

In L_3, let

$$F_{16} = \langle Z(L_3)_0, -1, c, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7, e_8e_9e_{10}e_{11}, e_8e_9e_{12}e_{13}, e_8e_{10}e_{12}e_{14}\rangle.$$

In L_4, let $F_{17} = \langle Z(L_4)_0, F'\rangle$, where F' projects to the subgroup F_2 of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ in Section 2. In L_5, let $F_{18} = \langle Z(L_4)_0, F_{18}'\rangle$ and $F_{19} = \langle Z(L_4)_0, F_{19}'\rangle$, where F_{18}', F_{19}' are finite abelian subgroups of E_7 with images of projection to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ being the finite abelian subgroups F_9, F_{12} of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ in Section 2.

Lemma 5.3. *Let F be a non-finite closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. Then F is either a maximal torus, or is conjugate to one of F_{14}, F_{15}, F_{16}, F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, L_s is simply connected. As it has a finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$, the root system of L is one of the types $\emptyset$, D_4, D_6, D_7, E_6 or E_7. In the case of D_4, D_6, D_7, F is conjugate to F_{14}, F_{15}, F_{16} by [Yu3], Propositions 2.2 and 2.3. The E_6 case is answered in Section 2, and the E_7 case is answered in Section 4. □

Proposition 5.8. *There are exact sequences*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_2)^3, (\mathbb{F}_2)^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow W(F_{14}) \longrightarrow W(\mathrm{F}_4) \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow Q_2 \longrightarrow W(F_{15}) \longrightarrow D_4 \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow ((\mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)) \times (\mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2))) \rtimes S_2 \longrightarrow W(F_{16}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^3 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_3) \longrightarrow W(F_{17}) \longrightarrow D_6 \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \longrightarrow W(F_{18}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow Q_3 \longrightarrow W(F_{19}) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Here Q_2 is the Weyl group of the subgroup F_{12} of $\mathrm{Spin}(12)$ *defined in* [Yu3], *Proposition 2.2, which is of order $5 \times 3^2 \times 2^{14}$ and fits in an exact sequence*

$$1 \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2^6) \to Q_2 \to (\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^4, \mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes S_6 \to 1.$$

The group Q_3 is the Weyl group of a subgroup F_{12}' of E_7, *which is the preimage in* E_7 *of the subgroup F_{12} of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ *defined in Section 2. By Proposition 4.8, there is an exact sequence*

$$1 \to \mathbb{F}_2^3 \times \mathrm{Hom}'(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) \to Q_3 \to \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \to 1,$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}'(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) : \mathrm{tr}\, f = 0\}$.

Proof. In each case we have a homomorphism $p : W(F) \longrightarrow W(Z(L)_0)$, which is clearly a surjective map. The neutral component of the center of L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5 is a maximal torus of the derived subgroup of a Levi subgroup with root system D_4, $2A_1$, A_2, A_1, A_1 respectively. by embedding the D_4, A_2 subgroups into E_6, one shows that $W(Z(L_1)_0) \cong W(\mathrm{F}_4)$ and $W(Z(L_4)_0) \cong D_6$. One has $\ker p = W(F \cap L_s)$ for $F \cap L_s$ as a subgroup of L_s, which is determined in [Yu3] and previous sections of this paper. □

References

[AGMV] K. K. S. Andersen; J. Grodal; J. M. Moller; A. Viruel, *The classification of p-compact groups for p odd.* Ann. Math. (2) **167** (2008), no. 1, 95-210.

[Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras.* Chapters 46. Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[DV] C. Draper; A. Viruel, *Fine gradings on* $\mathfrak{e}_6$. arXiv:1207.6690[math.RA].

[Gr] R.L. Griess, *Elementary abelian p-subgroups of algebraic groups.* Geom. Dedicata **39** (1991), no. 3, 253-305.

[HV] G. Han; D. Vogan, *Finite maximal tori.* Preprint.

[He] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 80. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.

[HY] J.-S. Huang; J. Yu, *Klein four subgroups of Lie algebra automorphisms.* Pacific J. Math. **262** (2013), no. 2, 397-420.

[Kn] A.W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*. Progress in Mathematics, **140**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

[OV] A.L. Onishchik; È. B. Vinberg, *Lie groups and algebraic groups.* Translated from the Russian and with a preface by D. A. Leites. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[Os] T. Oshima, *A classification of subsystems of a root system.* ArXiv:math/0611904.

[Yu] J. Yu, *Elementary abelian 2-subgroups of compact Lie groups*. Geom. Dedicata. **167** (2013), no. 1, 245-293.

[Yu2] J. Yu, *Maximal abelian subgroups of compact classical simple Lie groups.* Preprint.

[Yu3] J. Yu, *Maximal abelian subgroups of Spin groups and some exceptional simple Lie groups.* Preprint.

Jun Yu
School of Mathematics,
Institute for Advanced Study,
Einstein Drive, Fuld Hall,
Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
email:junyu@math.ias.edu.